arXiv:1408.6740v1 [math.RT] 28 Aug 2014

GEOMETRIC SCHUR DUALITY OF CLASSICAL TYPE, II

ZHAOBING FAN AND YIQIANG LI

Abstract. We establish algebraically and geometrically a duality between the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type **D** and two new quantum algebras arising from the geometry of N-step isotropic flag varieties of type **D**. This duality is a type **D** counterpart of the Schur-Jimbo duality of type **A** and the Schur-like duality of type **B**/**C** discovered by Bao-Wang. The new algebras play a role in the type **D** duality similar to the modified quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ in type **A**, and the modified coideal subalgebras of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ in type **B**/**C**. We construct canonical bases for these two algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Schur dualities of type $\mathbf{D}_d$ 4
2.1. The algebra $\mathbf{U}$ and the first duality 4
2.2. The algebra $\mathbf{U}^m$ and the second duality 6
3. A geometric setting 7
3.1. Preliminary 7
3.2. The first double centralizer 8
3.3. G-orbits on $\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{Y}\times\mathscr{Y}$ 9
3.4. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$-action on $\mathcal{V}$ 10
4. Calculus of the algebra $\mathcal{S}$ 11
4.1. Defining relations of $\mathcal{S}$ 11
4.2. Parametrization of G-orbits on $\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{X}$ 13
4.3. Multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{S}$ 14
4.4. $\mathcal{S}$-action on $\mathcal{V}$ 18
4.5. Standard basis of $\mathcal{S}$ 19
4.6. Generators of $\mathcal{S}$ 21
4.7. Canonical basis of $\mathcal{S}$ 23
4.8. Inner product on $\mathcal{S}$ 23
5. The limit algebra $\mathcal{K}$ and its canonical basis 24
5.1. Stabilization 24
5.2. Bases of $\mathcal{K}$ 27
5.3. From $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{S}$ 28
5.4. Towards a presentation of $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{K}$ 28
5.5. The algebra $\mathcal{U}$ 29

Date: August 29, 2014.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 14L35, 20G43.
Key words and phrases. Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type **D**, flag variety of type **D**, Schur-type duality, canonical basis.

6. Case II 32
6.1. The second double centralizer 32
6.2. Relations for $\mathcal{S}^m$ 33
6.3. Generators and bases for $\mathcal{S}^m$ 35
6.4. The algebra $\mathcal{K}^m$ 37
6.5. A presentation of $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}^m$ 37
6.6. The identification $\mathcal{K}^m = \dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ 38
6.7. The algebra $\mathcal{U}^m$ 39
References 39

1. Introduction

Let G be a classical linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field. One of the milestones in geometric representation theory is the geometric realization of the associated Iwahori-Hecke algebra of G, by using the bounded derived category of G-equivariant constructible sheaves on the product variety of two copies of the complete flag variety of G. Via this realization, many problems related to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of G are solved. For example, the positivity conjecture for the structure constants of the Kazhdan-Lusztig bases ([KL79]) are settled by interpreting the basis elements as the (shifted) intersection cohomology complexes attached to G-orbit closures in the product variety.

One may wonder if the geometric approach can be adapted to study other objects in representation theory, besides Iwahori-Hecke algebras. Indeed, a modification by replacing the adjective 'complete' in the construction by 'partial' already yields highly nontrivial results, as we explain in the following.

If G is of type $\mathbf{A}$, i.e., $G = \mathrm{GL}(d)$, and the complete flag variety is replaced by the N-step partial flag variety of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ with N bearing not relation to d, then an analogous construction provides a geometric realization of the v-Schur quotient of the quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ in the classic work [BLM90]. Moreover, the quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ can then be realized in the projective limit of the v-Schur quotients (as d goes to infinity). Remarkably, an idempotented version of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ is discovered inside the projective limit as well admitting a canonical basis. The role of the canonical basis for the modified quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ is similar to that of Kazhdan-Lusztig bases for Iwahori-Hecke algebras. Subsequently, the Schur-Jimbo duality, as a bridge connecting the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ and (modified) quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$, is realized geometrically by considering the product variety of the complete flag variety and the N-step partial flag variety of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ in [GL92]. The modified quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ (a variant of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$) and its canonical basis are further categorified in the works [La10] and [KhLa10], which play a fundamental role in higher representation theory and the categorification of knot invariants.

If G is of type $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{C}$, i.e., $G = \mathrm{SO}(2d+1)/\mathrm{SP}(2d)$, and the variety involved is replaced by the N-step isotropic flag variety of $\mathrm{SO}(2d+1)/\mathrm{SP}(2d)$, then one gets a geometric realization of the modified forms of two coideal subalgebras $\mathbf{U}^\imath$ and $\mathbf{U}^\jmath$ of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ in [BKLW14] by mimicking the approach in [BLM90]. Moreover, the canonical bases of these modified coideal subalgebras are constructed and studied for the first time. Along the way, a duality of Bao-Wang in [BW13] relating the (modified) coideal subalgebras and the Iwahori-Hecke

algebra of type **B**/**C** associated to $\mathrm{SO}(2d+1)/\mathrm{SP}(2d)$ is also geometrically realized in a similar manner as the type-**A** case. (See also [G97] for a duality closely related to the duality of Bao-Wang.) The canonical basis theory for these coideal subalgebras is initiated in the seminal work [BW13], and is used substantially to give simultaneously a new formulation of the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture of type **B**/**C** on the irreducible character problem and the resolution of the analogous problem for the ortho-symplectic Lie superalgebras.

To this end, it is compelling to ask what happens to the remaining classical case: $G = \mathrm{SO}(2d)$ of type **D**. The purpose of this paper is to provide an answer to this question, as a sequel to [BKLW14]. More precisely, we obtain two quantum algebras $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^m$ via the geometry of the N-step isotropic flag variety of type **D** and a stabilization process following [BLM90] and [BKLW14]. We show that both algebras possess three distinguished bases, i.e., the standard, monomial and canonical bases, similar to the results in type **ABC**. We further establish new dualities between these two algebras and the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type **D** attached to $\mathrm{SO}(2d)$ algebraically and geometrically.

Unlike type **ABC**, the algebras $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^m$ are not modified forms of some known quantum algebras in literature, even though they resemble the modified forms $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$, $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^\jmath$ of coideal subalgebras of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$. It is natural to ask for a presentation of the two algebras by generators and relations. We have a complete answer for the algebra $\mathcal{K}^m$, and partial results for $\mathcal{K}$. We show that the algebra $\mathcal{K}^m$ admits defining relations similar to those of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$, but with the size of the set of idempotent generators doubled, after extending the underlying ring to the field of rational functions. Despite all the similarities, we caution the reader that $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}^m$. The presentation for $\mathcal{K}^m$ is obtained by showing that (the complexification of) $\mathcal{K}^m$ is isomorphic to the modified form of a new unital associative algebra $\mathbf{U}^m$ containing the coideal subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^\imath$ and two additional idempotents. The appearance of the new idempotents reflects the geometric fact that there are two connected components for maximal isotropic Grassmannians in the type **D** geometry. As for the bigger algebra $\mathcal{K}$, we formulate another new unital associative algebra $\mathbf{U}$ containing the coideal subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^\jmath$ and three extra idempotents, and expect its modified form to be isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}$ after a suitable field extension. As an evidence in support of this expectation, we show that $\mathbf{U}$ and the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type **D** satisfy a double centralizer property. Notice that the commuting actions between $\mathbf{U}^\imath$, $\mathbf{U}^\jmath$ and the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type **D** are first observed in [ES13b, 7.8] (see also [ES13a]), so this result can be thought of as an enhancement of those in *loc. cit.*

As an application, we expect that the type-**D** duality and the canonical basis theory for the new algebras $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^m$ developed in this paper will shed light on the type-**D** problems similar to those addressed in [BW13], currently under investigation by H. Bao.

Since our results are governed in principle by the (parabolic) Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of type **D**, they are obviously different from those in type **ABC** in [BLM90] and [BKLW14]. Furthermore, the geometry of type **D** is more challenging to handle. In particular, there are mainly three new technical barriers in our type **D** setting that we overcomed. The first one is that there are two connected components for the maximal isotropic Grassmannian associated to $\mathrm{SO}(2d)$. This forces us to parameterize the $\mathrm{SO}(2d)$-orbits by using *signed matrices* instead of matrices in type **ABC**. The second one is that the number of isotropic lines in a given quadratic space of even dimension over a finite field depends on its isometric class, we choose to work in the case when the group is split, which has a remarkable hereditary

property (see Lemma 3.1.1). The last one is that during the stabilization process, one can not subtract/add by an (even) multiple of the identity matrix as in *loc. cit.*, because the signs of the matrices may change. To circumvent this difficulty, we subtract/add an even multiple of a matrix obtained by changing the middle entry to be zero in the diagonal of the identity matrix. All these factors make the computations and arguments more involved than those in previous cases.

As this paper provides a complete picture for the cases of the classical groups, the problem of whether a similar picture exists for exceptional groups is still wide open. Meanwhile, for G replaced by a loop group of type $\mathbf{A}$, there exists a similar geometric theory involving affine Iwahori-Hecke algebras of type $\mathbf{A}$ and affine quantum $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ in [Lu99], [GV93], [SV00] and [M10]. The investigation for G being a loop group of type $\mathbf{BCD}$ will be presented in a separate article.

Acknowledgement. Y. Li thanks Huanchen Bao, Jonathan Kujawa and Weiqiang Wang for fruitful collaborations, which pave the way for the current project. We thank Weiqiang Wang for comments on an earlier version of this article. Y. Li is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS 1160351.

2. Schur dualities of type $\mathbf{D}_d$

In this section, we shall introduce the algebras $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{U}^m$, and formulate algebraically the dualities between these two algebras and the Iwahori-Hecke algebras of type $\mathbf{D}_d$.

2.1. **The algebra U and the first duality.** Let $[a, b]$ denote the set of integers between a and b. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be the unital associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra generated by the symbols

$$E_i, F_i, H_a^{\pm 1}, \text{ and } J_\alpha, \quad \forall i \in [1, n], a \in [1, n+1], \alpha \in \{+, 0, -\},$$

satisfying the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_+ + J_0 + J_- = 1, \quad J_\alpha J_\beta = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} J_\alpha,\\
&J_\alpha H_a = H_a J_\alpha,\\
&J_\pm E_i = (1 - \delta_{in}) E_i J_\pm,\\
&F_i J_\pm = (1 - \delta_{in}) J_\pm F_i,\\
&J_\pm F_n E_n - F_n E_n J_\mp = \frac{H_n^{-1} H_{n+1} - H_n H_{n+1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} (J_\pm - J_\mp),\\
&H_a H_b = H_b H_a, \quad H_a H_a^{-1} = 1,\\
&H_a E_i = v^{\delta_{ai} - \delta_{a,i+1} - \delta_{a,n+1}\delta_{i,n}} E_i H_a,\\
&H_a F_i = v^{-\delta_{ai} + \delta_{a,i+1} + \delta_{a,n+1}\delta_{i,n}} F_i H_a,\\
&E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{H_i H_{i+1}^{-1} - H_i^{-1} H_{i+1}}{v - v^{-1}}, \qquad \text{if } (i,j) \neq (n,n),\\
&E_i E_j = E_j E_i, \quad F_i F_j = F_j F_i, \qquad \text{if } |i-j| > 1,\\
&E_i^2 E_j - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0, \qquad \text{if } |i-j| = 1,\\
&F_i^2 F_j - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2 = 0, \qquad \text{if } |i-j| = 1,\\
&E_n^2 F_n + F_n E_n^2 = (v + v^{-1})(E_n F_n E_n - E_n(v H_n H_{n+1}^{-1} + v^{-1} H_n^{-1} H_{n+1})),\\
&F_n^2 E_n + E_n F_n^2 = (v + v^{-1})(F_n E_n F_n - (v H_n H_{n+1}^{-1} + v^{-1} H_n^{-1} H_{n+1}) F_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $i,j \in [1,n]$, $a,b \in [1,n+1]$ and $\alpha \in \{+,0,-\}$. Notice that the subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^\jmath$ generated by E_i, F_i and $H_a^{\pm 1}$ for any $i \in [1,n]$, $a \in [1,n+1]$ is the coideal subalgebra in the same notation in [BKLW14]. See also [Le02] and [ES13b].

Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ of dimension $2n+1$. We fix a basis $(\mathbf{v}_i)_{1\le i\le 2n+1}$ for $\mathbf{V}$. Let $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ be the d-th tensor space of $\mathbf{V}$. Thus we have a basis $(\mathbf{v}_{r_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbf{v}_{r_d})$, where $r_1,\cdots,r_d \in [1,2n+1]$, for the tensor space $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$.

For a sequence $\mathbf{r}=(r_1,\cdots,r_d)$, we write $\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r}$ for $\mathbf{v}_{r_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbf{v}_{r_d}$. For a sequence $\mathbf{r}=(r_1,\cdots,r_d)$, it defines uniquely a sequence of length $2d$ of the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = (r_1,\cdots,r_d,2n+2-r_d,2n+2-r_{d-1},\cdots,2n+2-r_1)$$

such that $r_i + r_{2d+1-i} = 2n+2$. We shall identify $\mathbf{r}$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ in what follows.

For a sequence $\mathbf{r}$ and a fixed integer $p\in[1,2d]$, we define the sequence $\mathbf{r}'_p$ and $\mathbf{r}''_p$ by

$$(\mathbf{r}'_p)_j = \begin{cases} r_j, & j\neq p,2d+1-p,\\ r_p+1, & j=p,\\ r_{2d+1-p}-1, & j=2d+1-p,\end{cases} \quad\text{and}\quad (\mathbf{r}''_p)_j = \begin{cases} r_j, & j\neq p,2d+1-p,\\ r_p-1, & j=p,\\ r_{2d+1-p}+1, & j=2d+1-p.\end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1.1. *We have a left* $\mathbf{U}$*-action on* $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ *defined by, for any* $i\in[1,n]$, $a\in[1,n+1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i\cdot\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} &= v^{-\sum_{1\le j\le 2d}\delta_{i+1,r_j}} \sum_{1\le p\le 2d: r_p=i} v^{2\sum_{j<p}\delta_{i+1,r_j}}\ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}'_p},\\
F_i\cdot\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} &= v^{-\sum_{1\le j\le 2d}\delta_{i,r_j}} \sum_{1\le p\le 2d: r_p=i+1} v^{2\sum_{j>p}\delta_{i,r_j}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}''_p},\\
H_a^{\pm1}\cdot\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} &= v^{\mp\sum_{1\le j\le 2d}\delta_{a,r_j}}\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r},\\
J_+\cdot\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} &= \begin{cases}\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r}, & r_i\neq n+1,\forall i,\#\{j\in[1,d]|r_j\ge n+1\}\ \textit{is even},\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise},\end{cases}\\
J_-\cdot\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} &= \begin{cases}\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r}, & r_i\neq n+1,\forall i,\#\{j\in[1,d]|r_j\ge n+1\}\ \textit{is odd},\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise},\end{cases}\\
J_0\cdot\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} &= \begin{cases}\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r}, & r_i=n+1,\ \textit{for some } i,\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from (13), Proposition 4.1.1, and Corollary 4.4.1.

Recall that the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H}_d$ of type $\mathbf{D}_d$ is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ generated by τ_i for $i\in[1,d]$ and subject to the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_i^2 = (v^2-1)\tau_i + v^2, && 1\le i\le d,\\
&\tau_j\tau_{j+1}\tau_j = \tau_{j+1}\tau_j\tau_{j+1}, && 1\le j\le d-2,\\
&\tau_i\tau_j = \tau_j\tau_i, && 1\le j\le i-2\le d-3,\\
&\tau_d\tau_l = \tau_l\tau_d, && l\neq d-2.\\
&\tau_d\tau_{d-2}\tau_d = \tau_{d-2}\tau_d\tau_{d-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1.2. *We have a right $\mathbf{H}_d$-action on $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ given by, for $1 \le j \le d-1$,*

$$(1)\quad \mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{2d}}\tau_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{j-1}r_{j+1}r_j\dots r_{2d-j}r_{2d-j-1}r_{2d-j+1}\dots r_{2d}}, & r_j < r_{j+1};\\ v^2\mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{2d}}, & r_j = r_{j+1};\\ (v^2-1)\mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{2d}} + v^2\mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{j-1}r_{j+1}r_j\dots r_{2d-j}r_{2d-j-1}r_{2d-j+1}\dots r_{2d}}, & r_j > r_{j+1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2)\quad \mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{2d}}\tau_d = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{d-2}r_{d+1}r_{d+2}r_{d-1}r_dr_{d+3}\dots r_{2d}}, & r_{d-1}+r_d < N+1;\\ v^2\mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{2d}}, & r_{d-1}+r_d = N+1;\\ (v^2-1)\mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{2d}} + v^2\mathbf{v}_{r_1\dots r_{d-2}r_{d+1}r_{d+2}r_{d-1}r_dr_{d+3}\dots r_{2d}}, & r_{d-1}+r_d > N+1. \end{cases}$$

Here we identify the sequence $\mathbf{r}$ with the associated sequence $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$.

This lemma follows from (13) and Lemmas 3.4.1 and 3.4.2.

We now can state the first duality.

Proposition 2.1.3. *The left $\mathbf{U}$-action in Lemma 2.1.1 and the right $\mathbf{H}_d$-action in Lemma 2.1.2 on $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ are commuting. They form a double centralizer for $n \ge d$, i.e.,*

$$\mathbf{H}_d \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathbf{U} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{H}_d}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}) \textit{ is surjective.}$$

The proposition follows from the previous two lemmas, Lemma 3.2.1, Proposition 4.1.1 and Corollary 4.6.6.

2.2. **The algebra $\mathbf{U}^m$ and the second duality.** Let $\mathbf{U}^m$ be an associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra with unit generated by the symbols

$$E_i, F_i, H_a^{\pm1}, T, J_\alpha, \quad \forall i \in [1, n-1], a \in [1, n], \alpha \in \{+,-\},$$

and subject to the following defining relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_+ + J_- = 1, \quad J_\alpha J_\beta = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}J_\alpha,\\
&J_\pm H_a = H_a J_\pm, \quad J_\pm E_i = E_i J_\pm, \quad F_i J_\pm = J_\pm F_i,\\
&J_\pm T = T J_\mp,\\
&H_aH_b = H_bH_a, \quad H_aH_a^{-1} = 1,\\
&H_aE_i = v^{-\delta_{a,i+1}+\delta_{ai}-\delta_{a,n+1}\delta_{i,n}}E_iH_a,\\
&H_aF_i = v^{-\delta_{ai}+\delta_{a,i+1}+\delta_{a,n+1}\delta_{i,n}}F_iH_a,\\
&H_iT = TH_i,\\
&E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{ij}\frac{H_iH_{i+1}^{-1} - H_i^{-1}H_{i+1}}{v-v^{-1}},\\
&E_iE_j = E_jE_i, \quad F_iF_j = F_jF_i, && \text{if } |i-j|>1,\\
&E_i^2E_j - (v+v^{-1})E_iE_jE_i + E_jE_i^2 = 0, && \text{if } |i-j|=1,\\
&F_i^2F_j - (v+v^{-1})F_iF_jF_i + F_jF_i^2 = 0, && \text{if } |i-j|=1,\\
&TE_i = E_iT,\ TF_i = F_iT, && \text{if } i \le n-2,\\
&E_{n-1}^2T - (v+v^{-1})E_{n-1}TE_{n-1} + TE_{n-1}^2 = 0,\\
&F_{n-1}^2T - (v+v^{-1}F_{n-1}TF_{n-1} + TF_{n-1}^2 = 0,\\
&T^2E_{n-1} - (v+v^{-1})TE_{n-1}T + E_{n-1}T^2 = E_{n-1},\\
&T^2F_{n-1} - (v+v^{-1})TF_{n-1}T + F_{n-1}T^2 = F_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^\imath$ generated by E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm 1}$ and T is the algebra in the same notation in [BKLW14, 5.3]. See also [Le02] and [ES13b].

Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the subspace of $\mathbf{V}$ spanned by the basis elements $\mathbf{v}_i$ for $i \neq n+1$. Its d-th tensor space $\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d}$ is naturally a subspace of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ spanned by the vectors $\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r}$ such that $r_i \neq n+1$ for any i. Then we see that $\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d}$ is a vector space of dimension $(2n)^d$.

By Lemma 2.1.2, the $\mathbf{H}_d$-action on $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ induces an $\mathbf{H}_d$-action on $\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d}$. Moreover,

Lemma 2.2.1. *We have a $\mathbf{U}^m$-action on $\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d}$ given by the same formulae for E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm 1}$ and $J_\pm$ for $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $a \in [1, n]$ as in Lemma 2.1.1, together with*

$$T \cdot \mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r} = \left(F_n E_n + \frac{H_n H_{n+1}^{-1} - H_n^{-1} H_{n+1}}{v - v^{-1}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_\mathbf{r}.$$

This lemma is proved by (75), (77), Lemma 6.1.2 and Proposition 6.2.1. We can now state the second duality.

Proposition 2.2.2. *The $\mathbf{U}^m$-action and $\mathbf{H}_d$-action on $\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d}$ are commuting. They enjoy the double centralizer property when $n \geq d$.*

The proof is given by Lemma 2.2.1, Lemma 6.1.1, Proposition 6.2.1 and Proposition 6.3.2.

3. A geometric setting

We now turn to the geometric setting in order to prove the above results among others.

3.1. **Preliminary.** We start by recalling some results on counting isotropic subspaces in an even dimensional quadratic space over a finite field. We refer to [W93] and the references therein for more details.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of q elements and of odd characteristic. Recall that d is a fixed positive integer, and we set

$$D = 2d.$$

On the D-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^D$, we fix a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form Q whose associated matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

under the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_q^D$. By convention, $W^\perp$ stands for the orthogonal complement of a vector subspace W in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$. Moreover, we call W isotropic if $W \subseteq W^\perp$. We write $|W|$ for the dimension of W.

For any isotropic subspace W, the bilinear form Q induces a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $Q|_{W^\perp/W}$ on $W^\perp/W$. One of the reasons that we fix Q of the form (3) is its hereditary property in the following lemma, which can be proved inductively.

Lemma 3.1.1. *The associated matrix of $Q|_{W^\perp/W}$ is of the form (3) with rank $d - 2|W|$ under a certain basis.*

By using Lemma 3.1.1, we can count the number of isotropic lines inductively to get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1.2. *The cardinality, S_d, of the set of isotropic lines in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ is $\frac{q^{2d-1}-1}{q-1} + q^{d-1}$.*

We remark that the order of the set S_d with respect to a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ not isometric to Q may not be the same as the number in the above lemma. We will need the following lemma later. We write $W \overset{a}{\subset} V$ if $W \subseteq V$ and $|V/W| = a$.

Lemma 3.1.3. *Let $V = (V_i)_{1\le i\le 5}$ be a fixed flag of $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ such that $V_{i-1} \subset V_i$, $V_i = V_{5-i}^{\perp}$, $|V_i/V_{i-1}| = a_i$ and $a_i \ge 0$, for any $i \in [1,5]$. Consider the sets*

$$Z_i = \{U \subset V_i || U| = 1, U \text{ is isotropic, } U \not\subseteq V_{i-1}\}, \quad \forall i \in [1,5].$$

We have

$$\text{(i). } \#Z_3 = q^{a_1+a_2}\left(\frac{q^{a_3-1}-1}{q-1} + q^{\frac{a_3}{2}-1}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(ii). } \#Z_4 = q^{a_1+a_2+a_3-1}\frac{q^{a_4}-1}{q-1}.$$

Proof. To prove (i), we consider the set $Z_3' = \{W \subset V_3 | V_2 \overset{1}{\subset} W, W \text{ is isotropic}\}$. Let $\phi : Z_3 \to Z_3'$ be the map defined by $U \mapsto V_2 + U$. Clearly, the map ϕ is surjective. Observe that the order of each fiber is $q^{|V_2|} = q^{a_1+a_2}$ and, moreover, Z_3' gets identified with the set of all isotropic lines in V_3/V_2. By Lemma 3.1.2, we have $\#Z_3' = \frac{q^{a_3-1}-1}{q-1} + q^{\frac{a_3}{2}-1}$. This proves (i).

We now prove (ii). Consider the set $Z_4' = \{W \subset V_4 | V_1 \overset{1}{\subset} W, W \not\subset V_3, W \text{ is isotropic}\}$. Consider the map $\phi' : Z_4 \to Z_4'$, $U \mapsto V_1 + U$. This is a well-defined and surjective map and the cardinality of each fiber is $q^{|V_1|} = q^{a_1}$. To calculate the cardinality of Z_4', we set

$$\tilde{Z}_i = \{U \subset V_i/V_1 || U| = 1, U \text{ is isotropic}\}.$$

By a similar calculation in (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\#Z_4' &= \#\tilde{Z}_4 - \#\tilde{Z}_3 = \#\tilde{Z}_4 - (\#\tilde{Z}_3 \setminus \tilde{Z}_2 + \#\tilde{Z}_2)\\ &= \frac{q^{a_2+a_3+a_4-1}-1}{q-1} + q^{a_2+\frac{a_3}{2}-1} - \left(q^{a_2}(\frac{q^{a_3-1}-1}{q-1} + q^{\frac{a_3}{2}-1}) + \frac{q^{a_2}-1}{q-1}\right)\\ &= q^{a_2+a_3-1}\frac{q^{a_4}-1}{q-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii). □

3.2. **The first double centralizer.** We fix another positive integer n and let $N = 2n+1$. We fix a maximal isotropic vector subspace M_d in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ (of dimension d). Consider the following sets.

- The set $\mathscr{X}$ of N-step flags $V = (V_i)_{0\le i\le N}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ such that $V_i \subseteq V_{i+1}$, $V_i = V_j^{\perp}$, for any $i + j = N$.
- The set $\mathscr{Y}$ of complete flags $F = (F_i)_{0\le i\le D}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ such that $F_i \subset F_{i+1}$, $|F_i| = i$ and $F_i = F_j^{\perp}$, for any $i + j = D$, and $|F_d \cap M_d| \equiv d \bmod 2$.

Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(D)$ be the special orthogonal group attached to Q. The sets $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Y}$ admit naturally G-action from the left. Moreoever, G acts transitively on $\mathscr{Y}$ thanks to the condition $|F_d \cap M_d| \equiv d \bmod 2$. Let G act diagonally on the product $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X}$ (resp. $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{Y} \times \mathscr{Y}$). Set

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]. \tag{4}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}} = \mathcal{A}_G(\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X}) \tag{5}$$

be the set of all $\mathcal{A}$-valued G-invariant functions on $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X}$. Clearly, the set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}}$ is a free $\mathcal{A}$-module. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}}$ admits an associative $\mathcal{A}$-algebra structure '$*$' under a standard convolution product as discussed in [BKLW14, 2.3]. In particular, when v is specialized to $\sqrt{q}$, we have

$$f * g(V, V') = \sum_{V'' \in \mathscr{X}} f(V, V'')g(V'', V'), \quad \forall\, V, V' \in \mathscr{X}. \tag{6}$$

Similarly, we define the free $\mathcal{A}$-modules

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{A}_G(\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}} = \mathcal{A}_G(\mathscr{Y} \times \mathscr{Y}). \tag{7}$$

A similar convolution product gives an associative algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ and a left $\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}}$-action and a right $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$-action on $\mathcal{V}$. Moreover, these two actions commute and hence we have the following $\mathcal{A}$-algebra homomorphisms.

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}}(\mathcal{V}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}}}(\mathcal{V}).$$

By [P09, Theorem 2.1], we have the following double centralizer property.

Lemma 3.2.1. $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}}$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{X}}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$, *if* $n \geq d$.

We note that the result in [P09, Theorem 2.1] is obtained over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, but the proof can be adapted to our setting over the ring $\mathcal{A}$.

3.3. **G-orbits on $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{Y} \times \mathscr{Y}$.** We shall give a description of the G-orbits on $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{Y} \times \mathscr{Y}$. The description of the G-orbits on $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X}$ is more complicated, and postponed until Section 4.2.

We start by introducing the following notations associated to a matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq c}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ro}(M) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{c} m_{ij} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}, \\ \mathrm{co}(M) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{c} m_{ij} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq c}, \\ \mathrm{ur}(M) &= \sum_{i \leq c/2, j > c/2} m_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We also write $\mathrm{ro}(M)_i$ and $\mathrm{co}(M)_j$ for the i-th and j-th component of the row vectors of $\mathrm{ro}(M)$ and $\mathrm{co}(M)$, respectively.

To a pair $(F, F') \in \mathscr{Y} \times \mathscr{Y}$, we can associate a $D \times D$ matrix $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ by setting

$$\sigma_{ij} = \dim \frac{F_{i-1} + F_i \cap F'_j}{F_{i-1} + F_i \cap F'_{j-1}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq D. \tag{9}$$

This assignment defines a bijection

$$G \backslash \mathscr{Y} \times \mathscr{Y} \simeq \Sigma, \tag{10}$$

where Σ is the set of all matrices $\sigma \equiv (\sigma_{ij})$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{D\times D}(\mathbb{N})$ such that

$$\mathrm{ro}(\sigma)_i = 1, \quad \mathrm{ro}(\sigma)_j = 1, \quad \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{D+1-i,D+1-j}, \quad \mathrm{ur}(\sigma) \equiv 0 \bmod 2, \quad \forall i,j \in [1,D].$$

A similar assignment yields a bijection

$$G\backslash\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y} \simeq \Pi, \tag{11}$$

where the set Π consists of all matrices $B = (b_{ij})$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{N\times D}(\mathbb{N})$ subject to

$$\mathrm{co}(B)_j = 1; \quad b_{ij} = b_{N+1-i,D+1-j}, \ \forall\ i \in [1,N],\ j \in [1,D].$$

Moreover, we have

$$\#\Sigma = 2^{d-1}\cdot d! \quad \text{and} \quad \#\Pi = (2n+1)^d. \tag{12}$$

3.4. **$\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$-action on $\mathcal{V}$.** We shall provide an explicit description of the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$. For any $1 \le j \le d-1$, we define a function τ_j in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ by

$$\tau_j(F,F') = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } F_i = F'_i\ \forall i \in [1,d]\backslash\{j\}, F_j \neq F'_j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_d = e_{(d-1,d+1)(d,d+2)},$$

where $e_{(d-1,d+1)(d,d+2)}$ is the characteristic function of the G-orbit corresponding to the permutation matrix $(d-1,d+1)(d,d+2)$. Then we have the following well-known result.

Lemma 3.4.1. *The assignment of sending the functions τ_j, for $1 \le j \le d$, in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ to the generators of $\mathbf{H}_d$ in the same notations is an isomorphism.*

Given $B = (b_{ij}) \in \Pi$, let r_c be the unique number in $[1,N]$ such that $b_{r_c,c} = 1$ for each $c \in [1,D]$. The correspondence $B \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{r}} = (r_1,\cdots,r_D)$ defines a bijection between Π and the set of all sequences $(r_1,\cdots,r_d)$ such that $r_i + r_{D+1-i} = N+1$ for any $i \in [1,D]$. Denote by $e_{r_1\ldots r_D}$ the characteristic function of the G-orbit corresponding to the matrix B in $\mathcal{V}$. It is clear that the collection of these characteristic functions provides a basis for $\mathcal{V}$.

Recall from Section 2.1 that we have the space $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ spanned by vectors $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and to each sequence $\mathbf{r}$ a sequence $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ is uniquely defined. Thus we have an isomorphism of vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$:

$$\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d} \to \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{V}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D}. \tag{13}$$

Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.4.2. *The action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is described as follows. For $1 \le j \le d-1$, we have*

$$e_{r_1\ldots r_D}\tau_j = \begin{cases} e_{r_1\ldots r_{j-1}r_{j+1}r_j\ldots r_{D-j}r_{D-j-1}r_{D-j+1}\ldots r_D}, & r_j < r_{j+1}; \\ v^2 e_{r_1\ldots r_D}, & r_j = r_{j+1}; \\ (v^2-1)e_{r_1\ldots r_D} + v^2 e_{r_1\ldots r_{j-1}r_{j+1}r_j\ldots r_{D-j}r_{D-j-1}r_{D-j+1}\ldots r_D}, & r_j > r_{j+1}. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

$$e_{r_1\ldots r_D}\tau_d = \begin{cases} e_{r_1\ldots r_{d-2}r_{d+1}r_{d+2}r_{d-1}r_dr_{d+3}\ldots r_D}, & r_{d-1} + r_d < N+1; \\ v^2 e_{r_1\ldots r_D}, & r_{d-1} + r_d = N+1; \\ (v^2-1)e_{r_1\ldots r_D} + v^2 e_{r_1\ldots r_{d-2}r_{d+1}r_{d+2}r_{d-1}r_dr_{d+3}\ldots r_D}, & r_{d-1} + r_d > N+1. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Proof. Formula (14) agrees with the one in [GL92, 1.12], whose proof is also the same as the one for type-**A** case. We shall prove (15). It suffices to show the result by specializing v to $\sqrt{q}$. By the definition of convolution product, we have

$$e_{r_1\dots r_D}\tau_d(V,F)=\sum_{F'\in\mathscr{Y}} e_{r_1\dots r_D}(V,F')\tau_d(F',F).$$

By the definition of τ_d, we have $F'_i=F_i$ if $i\neq d-1,d,d+1$ or $d+2$. So the calculation is reduced to the case when $D=4$. Note also that it is enough to calculate the case when $n=2$, which we will assume.

If two of r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4 are equal, then the calculation can be reduced further to the case when $n=1$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{2132}\tau_2=e_{3221}, \quad && e_{2222}\tau_2=v^2e_{2222}, \quad && e_{2312}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{2312}+v^2e_{1223}.\\
&e_{1223}\tau_2=e_{2312}, && e_{1313}\tau_2=v^2e_{1313}, && e_{3221}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{3221}+v^2e_{2132}.\\
&e_{1133}\tau_2=e_{3311}, && e_{3131}\tau_2=v^2e_{3131}, && e_{3311}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{3311}+v^2e_{1133}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case when r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4 are all distinct, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{2514}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{2514}+v^2e_{1425}, && e_{4512}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{4512}+v^2e_{1245}.\\
&e_{5241}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{5241}+v^2e_{4152}, && e_{5421}\tau_2=(v^2-1)e_{5421}+v^2e_{2154}.\\
&e_{1245}\tau_2=e_{4512}, \quad e_{1425}\tau_2=e_{2514}, && e_{2154}\tau_2=e_{5421}, \quad e_{4152}\tau_2=e_{5241}.
\end{aligned}$$

Formula (15) follows from the above computations. □

4. Calculus of the algebra $\mathcal{S}$

Recall from the previous section that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{Z}}$ is the convolution algebra on $\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{X}$ defined in (5). For simplicity, we shall denote $\mathcal{S}$ instead of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{Z}}$. In this section, we determine the generators for $\mathcal{S}$ and the associated multiplication formula. Furthermore, we provide with a (conjectural) algebraic presentation of $\mathcal{S}$ and deduce various bases.

4.1. **Defining relations of $\mathcal{S}$.** For any $i\in[1,n]$, $a\in[1,n+1]$, we set

$$E_i(V,V')=\begin{cases} v^{-|V'_{i+1}/V'_i|}, & \text{if } V_i\overset{1}{\subset}V'_i, V_j=V_{j'},\forall j\in[1,n]\backslash\{i\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$F_i(V,V')=\begin{cases} v^{-|V'_i/V'_{i-1}|}, & \text{if } V_i\overset{1}{\supset}V'_i, V_j=V_{j'},\forall j\in[1,n]\backslash\{i\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$H_a^{\pm1}(V,V')=\begin{cases} v^{\mp|V'_a/V'_{a-1}|}, & \text{if } V=V';\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

$$J_+(V,V')=\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } V=V',\ |V_n|=d \text{ and } |V_n\cap M_d|\equiv d \bmod 2;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$J_-(V,V')=\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } V=V',\ |V_n|=d \text{ and } |V_n\cap M_d|\equiv d-1 \bmod 2;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$J_0=1-J_+-J_-.$$

It is clear that these functions are elements in $\mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 4.1.1. *The functions E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm 1}$ and J_α in $\mathcal{S}$, for any $i \in [1,n]$, $a \in [1,n+1]$ and $\alpha \in \{\pm, 0\}$, satisfy the defining relations of the algebra $\mathbf{U}$ in Section 2.1, together with the following ones.*

$$H_{n+1}\prod_{i=1}^{n} {H_i}^2 = v^{-D}, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{l=1}^{d}(H_j - v^{-l}) = 0, \quad \forall j \in [1,n]. \tag{17}$$

Proof. The proofs of the identities in the first four rows of the defining relations of $\mathbf{U}$ are straightforward. We show the identity in the fifth row. Let $\lambda'_i = |V'_i/V'_{i-1}|$. We have

$$F_nE_n(V,V') = \begin{cases} \frac{v^{2\lambda'_n-1}}{v^2-1}v^{-\lambda'_n-\lambda'_{n+1}+1} & \text{if } V = V', \\ v^{-\lambda'_n-\lambda'_{n+1}+1} & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| = |V_n| - 1 = |V'_n| - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We set

$$\mathscr{X}^3 = \{V \in \mathscr{X} \,||V_n| = d,\ |V_n \cap M_d| \equiv d-1 \bmod 2\}.$$

It is a G-orbit and we have $|V_n \cap V'_n| \equiv d \bmod 2$ for any $V, V' \in \mathscr{X}^3$. Therefore,

$$(J_+F_nE_n - F_nE_nJ_-)(V,V') = \begin{cases} \frac{v^{\lambda'_n}-v^{-\lambda'_n}}{v-v^{-1}} & \text{if } V = V' \notin \mathscr{X}^3, \\ -\frac{v^{\lambda'_n}-v^{-\lambda'_n}}{v-v^{-1}} & \text{if } V = V' \in \mathscr{X}^3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the right hand side is equal to $\frac{H_n^{-1}H_{n+1}-H_nH_{n+1}^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}(J_+ - J_-)(V,V')$.

We now show the penultimate identity. By a direct calculation, we have

$$E_nF_nE_n(V,V') = \begin{cases} (\frac{v^{2\lambda'_n-1}}{v^2-1} + \frac{v^{2\lambda'_{n+1}+2}-1}{v^2-1} + v^{D-2|V_n|-2} - 1)v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}+1}, & \text{if } V_n \overset{1}{\subset} V'_n, \\ v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}+1}, & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| = |V_n| - 1 \text{ and } V_n \not\subset V'_{n+1}, \\ (v^2+1)v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}+1}, & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| = |V_n| - 1 \text{ and } V_n \subset V'_{n+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$E_n^2F_n(V,V') = \begin{cases} (\frac{v^{2\lambda'_{n+1}-2}-1}{v^2-1} + v^{D-2|V'_n|-2})(v^2+1)v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}+2}, & \text{if } V_n \overset{1}{\subset} V'_n \text{ and } |V'_n| < d, \\ (v^2+1)v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}+2}, & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| = |V_n| - 1, |V'_n| < d \text{ and } V_n \subset V'_{n+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$F_nE_n^2(V,V') = \begin{cases} \frac{v^{2\lambda'_n-2}-1}{v^2-1}(v^2+1)v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}}, & \text{if } V_n \overset{1}{\subset} V'_n, \\ (v^2+1)v^{-\lambda'_n-2\lambda'_{n+1}}, & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| = |V_n| - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The penultimate identity follows.

To prove the last identity, we define a map $\rho : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ such that $\rho(f)(V,V') = f(V',V)$. It is clear that ρ is an anti-automorphism. Moreover, we have

$$\rho(E_n) = v^{-1}H_n^{-1}H_{n+1}F_n, \quad \rho(F_n) = v^{-2}H_nH_{n+1}^{-1}E_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(H_n^{\pm 1}) = H_n^{\pm 1}. \tag{18}$$

Applying ρ to both sides of the penultimate identity, we get the last identity. The rest relations are reduced to type-**A** case, and will not be reproduced here. □

4.2. **Parametrization of G-orbits on $\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{X}$.** In order to describe the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{S}$, we need to parametrize the G-orbits in $\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{X}$. Recall from Section 3.2 that $\mathscr{X}$ is the set of N-step flags in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ such that $V_i = V_j^\perp, \forall\ i+j=N$. For any pair (V,V') of flags in $\mathscr{X}$, we can assign an N by N matrix as (9) whose (i,j)-entry equal to $\dim \frac{V_{i-1}+V_i\cap V'_j}{V_{i-1}+V_i\cap V'_{j-1}}$. It is clear that this assignment is G-invariant. Thus we have a map

$$\tilde{\Phi} : G\backslash\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{X}\to\Xi, \tag{19}$$

where Ξ is the set of all $N\times N$ matrices A with entries in $\mathbb{N}$ subject to

$$\sum_{i,j\in[1,N]} a_{ij} = D,\ a_{ij} = a_{N+1-i,N+1-j}, \quad \forall i,j\in[1,N].$$

This map is surjective, but not injective. We need to refine it.

Recall that M_d is a fixed maximal isotropic subspace in $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ and

$$\mathscr{X}^3 = \{V\in\mathscr{X}\,||V_n| = d \text{ and } |V_n\cap M_d|\equiv d-1 \bmod 2\}.$$

We set

- $\mathscr{X}^1 = \{V\in\mathscr{X}\,||V_n| < d\}$,
- $\mathscr{X}^2 = \{V\in\mathscr{X}\,||V_n| = d \text{ and } |V_n\cap M_d|\equiv d \bmod 2\}$.

We have a partition of $\mathscr{X}$:

$$\mathscr{X} = \mathscr{X}^1\sqcup\mathscr{X}^2\sqcup\mathscr{X}^3.$$

Let $O(D)$ be the orthogonal group associated to Q. For any $g\in O(D)\setminus G$, the map $\psi_g:\mathscr{X}^2\to\mathscr{X}^3$, defined by $V\mapsto g\cdot V$, is a bijection, which yields the following bijections.

$$\begin{aligned} &G\backslash\mathscr{X}^1\times\mathscr{X}^2\to G\backslash\mathscr{X}^1\times\mathscr{X}^3, \quad G\backslash\mathscr{X}^2\times\mathscr{X}^1\to G\backslash\mathscr{X}^3\times\mathscr{X}^1,\\ &G\backslash\mathscr{X}^2\times\mathscr{X}^2\to G\backslash\mathscr{X}^3\times\mathscr{X}^3, \quad G\backslash\mathscr{X}^2\times\mathscr{X}^3\to G\backslash\mathscr{X}^3\times\mathscr{X}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Moreover, corresponding pairs on both sides under the bijections in (20) get sent to the same matrix by $\tilde{\Phi}$. In corresponding to (20), we define a sign function

$$\operatorname{sgn}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i,j) = (1,1),\\ + & (i,j) = (1,2),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),\\ - & (i,j) = (1,3),(3,1),(3,3),(3,2). \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Recall the notation $\mathrm{ro}(A)$ and $\mathrm{co}(A)$ from (8), we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^0 &= \{A\in\Xi|\ \mathrm{ro}(A)_{n+1}>0,\ \mathrm{co}(A)_{n+1}>0\}\times\{0\},\\ \Xi^+ &= \Xi\backslash\Xi^0\times\{+\},\\ \Xi^- &= \Xi\backslash\Xi^0\times\{-\}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For convenience, we sometimes write $A^\pm$ for $(A,\pm)\in\Xi^\pm$ and A^0 for $(A,0)$ in Ξ^0. We further set

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{D}} = \Xi^+\sqcup\Xi^0\sqcup\Xi^-. \tag{23}$$

Elements in $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ will be called *signed matrices*. We have

Lemma 4.2.1. *The map $\tilde{\Phi}$ in (19) induces a bijection*

$$\Phi : G\backslash\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{X}\to\Xi_{\mathbf{D}},\quad G.(V,V')\mapsto\mathfrak{a}\equiv(A,\alpha), \tag{24}$$

such that $\tilde{\Phi}(G.(V,V'))=A$ and $\alpha=\mathrm{sgn}(i,j)$ if $(V,V')\in\mathscr{X}^i\times\mathscr{X}^j$. Moreover, we have

$$\#\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}=\binom{2n^2+2n+d}{d}+2\binom{2n^2+n+d-1}{d}-\binom{2n^2+d-1}{d}. \tag{25}$$

Proof. The first part follows from (20) and the definition of $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$. We now calculate $\#\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$. From (22) $\#\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}=\#\Xi+\#\Xi^-$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\#\Xi&=\#\left\{a_{ij},i\in[1,n],\forall j;a_{n+1,j},j\in[1,n]|\sum_{i\le n;j}a_{ij}+\sum_{j\le n}a_{n+1,j}=d-\frac{a_{n+1,n+1}}{2}\right\}\\&=\sum_{l=0}^{d}\binom{2n^2+2n+d-l-1}{d-l}=\binom{2n^2+2n+d}{d}.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Denote $\Xi_1^-=\{A\in\Xi^-|a_{n+1,j}=0,\forall j\}$ and $\Xi_2^-=\{A\in\Xi^-|a_{i,n+1}=0,\forall i\}$. Then $\Xi^-=\Xi_1^-\sqcup\Xi_2^-$, and

$$\#\Xi^-=\#\Xi_1^-+\#\Xi_2^--\#\Xi_1^-\cap\Xi_2^-=2\binom{2n^2+n+d-1}{d}-\binom{2n^2+d-1}{d}. \tag{27}$$

Lemma follows from (26) and (27). □

4.3. **Multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{S}$.** For each signed matrix $\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the associated G-orbit. We introduce the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned}\sup(\mathfrak{a})&=(i,j),\quad\text{if }\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}\subseteq\mathscr{X}^i\times\mathscr{X}^j.\\\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a})&=\mathrm{sgn}(\sup(\mathfrak{a})).\\\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})&=\mathrm{ro}(A),\\\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})&=\mathrm{co}(A),\\\mathrm{ur}(\mathfrak{a})&=\mathrm{ur}(A),\\\mathfrak{a}+B&=A+B\quad\text{if }\mathfrak{a}=(A,\alpha)\text{ and }B\text{ a matrix.}\\\mathrm{p}(\mathfrak{a})&=\begin{cases}1&\text{if }\mathrm{ur}(\mathfrak{a})\text{ is odd,}\\0&\text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We note that $\mathfrak{a}+B$ is a matrix instead of a signed matrix. For a signed matrix $\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}s_l(\mathfrak{a})&=\begin{cases}1&\text{if }\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}>0,\\2&\text{if }\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=0,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a})=+,\\3&\text{if }\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=0,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a})=-.\end{cases}\\s_r(\mathfrak{a})&=\begin{cases}1&\text{if }\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}>0,\\3-\mathrm{p}(\mathfrak{a})\delta_{0,\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}}&\text{if }\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=0,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a})=-,\\2+\mathrm{p}(\mathfrak{a})\delta_{0,\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}}&\text{if }\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=0,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a})=+.\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Then, we have

Lemma 4.3.1. $\sup(\mathfrak{a}) = (s_l(\mathfrak{a}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))$ *and* $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{a}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))$, *for all* $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(n)_v = \frac{v^{2n}-1}{v^2-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{n}{k}_v = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(n+1-i)_v}{(i)_v}.$$

Let

$$E^{\theta}_{ij} = E_{ij} + E_{N+1-i,N+1-j},$$

where E_{ij} is the $N \times N$ matrix whose (i,j)-entry is 1 and all other entries are 0. Let $e_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the characteristic function of the G-orbit corresponding to $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$. It is clear that the set $\{e_{\mathfrak{a}} | \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{S}$. For convenience, we set

$$e_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{a} \notin \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

Recall the notations, such as $\mathfrak{a} + B$, from (28). We have

Proposition 4.3.2. *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{a} \equiv A^{\alpha}$, $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ *and* $h \in [1,n]$.

(a) *If* $\mathfrak{b}$ *is chosen such that* $\mathfrak{b} - E^{\theta}_{h,h+1}$ *is diagonal,* $\operatorname{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \operatorname{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$ *and* $s_r(\mathfrak{b}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$, *then*

$$e_{\mathfrak{b}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{p \in [1,N]} v^{2\sum_{j>p} a_{hj}} (1+a_{hp})_v \; e_{\mathfrak{a}_p}, \quad \textit{where} \tag{30}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_p = (A + E^{\theta}_{hp} - E^{\theta}_{h+1,p}, \operatorname{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{b}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

(b) *If* $h \neq n$ *and* $\mathfrak{c}$ *has that* $\mathfrak{c} - E^{\theta}_{h+1,h}$ *is diagonal,* $\operatorname{co}(\mathfrak{c}) = \operatorname{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$ *and* $s_r(\mathfrak{c}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$, *then*

$$e_{\mathfrak{c}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq N} v^{2\sum_{j<p} a_{h+1,j}} (1+a_{h+1,p})_v \; e_{\mathfrak{a}(h,p)}, \quad \textit{where} \tag{31}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}(h,p) = (A - E^{\theta}_{hp} + E^{\theta}_{h+1,p}, \operatorname{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{c}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

(c) *If the condition* $h \neq n$ *in (b) is replaced by* $h = n$, *then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathfrak{c}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{p \neq n+1, a_{n,p} \geq 1} & v^{2\sum_{j<p} a_{n+1,j}} (1+a_{n+1,p})_v \; e_{\mathfrak{a}(n,p)} + \\ & v^{2\sum_{j<n+1} a_{n+1,j}} ((1+a_{n+1,n+1})_v + (1-\delta_{0,\operatorname{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}}) v^{a_{n+1,n+1}}) \; e_{\mathfrak{a}(n,n+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Remark 4.3.3. Although the formulas (30), (31) and (32) look similar to formulas (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) in [BKLW14], they are different in many ways. For example, if we take $h = n$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ in (30) to be such that $A - E^{\theta}_{n+1,n}$ is diagonal, then we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{b}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \begin{cases} (1+a_{nn})_v \; e_{\mathfrak{a}_n}, & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{b}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}), \\ e_{\mathfrak{a}_{n+2}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

While in [BKLW14], the product $e_B * e_A$ is a sum of the terms in the right-hand side of the above identity in a similar situation.

Proof. The proof of (a) and (b) is the same as the one for Lemma 3.2 in [BLM90]. We must show (c), which can be reduced to analogous results at the specialization of v to $\sqrt{q}$. We first deal with the case when $\operatorname{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} > 0$. Let $V = (V_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ and $V' = (V'_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ be two flags such that $(V, V') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ for a signed matrix $\mathfrak{a}' = (a'_{ij}, \operatorname{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{c}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))$. Set

$Z = \{U | V_n \overset{1}{\subset} U \subset V_{n+1}, U \text{ is isotropic}\}$. Let V_U be the flag obtained by replacing V_n (resp. V_{n+1}) in V by U (resp. $U^\perp$). Then $(V, V_U) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ if and only if $U \in Z$. Let

$$Z_p = \{U \in Z | V_n \cap V'_j = U \cap V'_j \text{ if } j < p; V_n \cap V'_j \neq U \cap V'_j \text{ if } j \geq p\},$$

so that $\{Z_p | 1 \leq p \leq N\}$ form a partition of Z. Moreover, if $U \in Z_p$ and $(V_U, V') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then

$$a_{n,p} = a'_{n,p} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n+1,p} = a'_{n+1,p} - 1.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a}(n,p)$. In particular, we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{c}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_p \#Z_p \; e_{\mathfrak{a}(n,p)}.$$

Observe that

$$Z_p \simeq \{U \text{ is isotropic} | V_n \overset{1}{\subset} U \subset V_n + V_{n+1} \cap V'_p, \; U \not\subset V_n + V_{n+1} \cap V'_{p-1}\}.$$

If $p \leq n$, $V_n + V_{n+1} \cap V'_p$ is isotropic, then

$$\#Z_p = (q-1)^{-1}(q^{\sum_{1\leq j\leq p} a'_{n+1,j}} - q^{\sum_{1\leq j\leq p-1} a'_{n+1,j}}) = q^{\sum_{1\leq j<p} a_{n+1,j}} \frac{q^{1+a_{n+1,p}} - 1}{q-1}.$$

This matches with the coefficient of the first term on the right-hand side of (32) for $p \leq n$.

We now compute the number $\#Z_p$ for $p \geq n+1$. We set $W_i = \frac{V_n + V_n^\perp \cap V'_i}{V_n}$ and consider the following flags

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \subset W_{N-p} \subset W_{N-p+1} \subset W_{p-1} \subset W_p \subset W_N, && \text{if } p > n+1, \\ &0 \subset W_n \subset W_{n+1} \subset W_N, && \text{if } p = n+1. \end{aligned}$$

So $Z_p \simeq \{U \text{ is isotropic} \mid U \subset W_p, U \not\subset W_{p-1}, |U| = 1\}$ if $p \geq n+1$. From this observation and applying Lemma 3.1.3, we have that $\#Z_p$ matches with the coefficients of the terms in (32). Therefore, we have (c) when $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} > 0$.

Finally, we assume that $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} = 0$. In this case, $\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}) = +$ or $-$, and hence $e_{\mathfrak{c}} e_{\mathfrak{a}}(V, V') = \sum_{V_U} e_{\mathfrak{c}}(V, V_U) e_{\mathfrak{a}}(V_U, V')$ and V_U runs as follows.

$$\begin{cases} V_U \in \mathscr{X}^2 & \text{if } \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}) = +, \\ V_U \in \mathscr{X}^3 & \text{if } \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}) = -. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $(V_U, V') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then $|U| = d$ and $|V_n| = d-1$. Given such V_n, there exists a unique maximum isotropic vector subspace U such that $V_n \overset{1}{\subset} U$ and $|U \cap M_d| \equiv d \bmod 2$ (or $|U \cap M_d| \not\equiv d \bmod 2$, but not both), where M_d is the fixed maximum isotropic subspace in Section 3.2. In this case, the coefficient of $e_{\mathfrak{a}(n,n+1)}$ is equal to 1 in both cases. Therefore, we have (c) for the case $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} = 0$. □

Recall the notations from (28). By Proposition 4.3.2 and an induction process, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3.4. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{a} = A^\alpha$, $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, $h \in [1, n]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(a) If $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s_r(\mathfrak{b}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{b} - rE^\theta_{h,h+1}$ is diagonal, then we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{b}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{t=(t_u)\in\mathbb{N}^N : \sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r} v^{2\sum_{j>u} a_{hj} t_u} \prod_{u=1}^N \binom{a_{hu} + t_u}{t_u}_v e_{\mathfrak{a}_t}, \quad \text{where} \tag{33}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_t = \left(A + \sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u (E^\theta_{hu} - E^\theta_{h+1,u}), \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{b}), s_r(\mathfrak{a})) \right) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

(b) If $h \neq n$, $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s_r(\mathfrak{c}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{c} - rE^\theta_{h+1,h}$ is diagonal, then

$$e_{\mathfrak{c}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{t=(t_u)\in\mathbb{N}^N : \sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r} v^{2\sum_{j<u} a_{h+1,j} t_u} \prod_{u=1}^{N} \binom{a_{h+1,u} + t_u}{t_u}_v e_{\mathfrak{a}(h,t)}, \quad \text{where} \tag{34}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}(h,t) = \left(A - \sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u (E^\theta_{hu} - E^\theta_{h+1,u}), \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{c}), s_r(\mathfrak{a})) \right) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

(c) If the condition $h \neq n$ in (b) is replaced by $h = n$, then we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{c}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{t=(t_u)\in\mathbb{N}^N : \sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r} v^{\tilde{\beta}(t)}\ \mathcal{G}\ e_{\mathfrak{a}(n,t)}, \quad \text{where} \tag{35}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}(t) = 2\sum_{j<u} a_{n+1,j} t_u + 2 \sum_{N+1-j<u<j} t_j t_u + \sum_{u>n+1} t_u(t_u - 1),$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \prod_{u<n+1} \binom{a_{n+1,u} + t_u + t_{N+1-u}}{t_u}_v \cdot \prod_{u>n+1} \binom{a_{n+1,u} + t_u}{t_u}_v \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{t_{n+1}-1} L_i,$$

$$L_i = \frac{(a_{n+1,n+1} + 1 + 2i)_v + (1 - \delta_{0,i}\delta_{0,\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}}) v^{a_{n+1,n+1}+2i}}{(i+1)_v}.$$

Note that $L_i \in \mathcal{A}$ since $a_{n+1,n+1}$ is even.

Proof. The proof of (a) and (b) is the same as the one for Lemma 3.4 in [BLM90].

We now show (c) by induction on r. We rewrite $\mathfrak{c}$ as $\mathfrak{c}_r$ to emphasize the dependence on r. Let $d_{t'}(\mathfrak{a})$ be the coefficient of $\mathfrak{a}(n,t')$ in the product $e_{\mathfrak{c}_r} e_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Let $\underline{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be the vector whose p-th entry is 1 and 0 elsewhere. The statement (c) is reduced to show that for any $t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_N) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\sum_u t_u = r+1$, we have

$$\sum_{t', \underline{p}} d_{t'}(\mathfrak{a})\ d_{\underline{p}}(\mathfrak{a}(n,t')) = (r+1)_v\ d_t(\mathfrak{a}), \tag{36}$$

where the sum runs over pairs $(t', \underline{p})$ such that $\sum t'_u = r$ and $t' + \underline{p} = t$.

We shall prove (36) by induction. When $r = 0$, the statement (36) holds automatically. We first deal with the case when $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} > 0$. By the induction assumption, we have

$$\sum_{t', \underline{p}} d_{t'}(\mathfrak{a}) d_{\underline{p}}(\mathfrak{a}(n,t')) = \sum_{t', \underline{p}} v^{2\sum_{j<u} a_{n+1,j} t'_u + 2\sum_{N+1-j<u<j} t'_j t'_u + \sum_{u>n+1} t'_u(t'_u - 1)}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{u<n+1} \binom{a_{n+1,u} + t'_u + t'_{N+1-u}}{t'_u}_v \prod_{i=0}^{t'_{n+1}-1} \frac{(a_{n+1,n+1} + 1 + 2i)_v + (1 - \delta_{0,i}\delta_{0,\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}}) v^{a_{n+1,n+1}+2i}}{(i+1)_v}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{u>n+1} \binom{a_{n+1,u} + t'_u}{t'_u}_v (a_{n+1,p} + 1 + t'_p + t'_{N+1-p})_v\ v^{2\sum_{j<p} a_{n+1,j} + t'_j + t'_{N+1-j}}.$$

Since $t'+\underline{p}=t$, we have

$$t_i = t'_i + \delta_{ip}.$$

We can compute the quotient $\sum_{t',\underline{p}} d_{t'}(\mathfrak{a}) d_{\underline{p}}(\mathfrak{a}(n,t'))/d_t(\mathfrak{a})$. We first calculate the power of v^2 for each p in this quotient, which is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j<u} a_{n+1,j}t'_u + \sum_{N+1-j<u<j} t'_j t'_u + \sum_{u>n+1} t'_u(t'_u-1)/2 + \sum_{j<p}(a_{n+1,j}+t'_j+t'_{N+1-j})\\
&-\sum_{j<u} a_{n+1,j}t_u - \sum_{N+1-j<u<j} t_j t_u - \sum_{u>n+1} t_u(t_u-1)/2\\
&= -\sum_{N+1-p<u<p} t_u - \sum_{N+1-j<p<j} t_j + \sum_{j<p}(t_j+t_{N+1-j}) + \begin{cases} -t_p & \text{if } p>n+1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\\
&= \sum_{j<p} t_j.
\end{aligned}$$

We then calculate the coefficients containing v-numbers for each p in the above quotient, which can be broken into the following three cases. If $p<n+1$, then the coefficient involving v-numbers is

$$(a_{n+1,p}+t_p+t_{N+1-p})_v \binom{a_{n+1,u}+t_p+t_{N+1-p}-1}{t_p-1}_v \Big/ \binom{a_{n+1,u}+t_p+t_{N+1-p}}{t_p}_v = (t_p)_v.$$

If $p=n+1$, then the term is

$$\frac{(t_{n+1})_v}{(a_{n+1,n+1}+2t_{n+1}-1)_v + q^{1/2a_{n+1,n+1}+t_{n+1}}}((a_{n+1,n+1}+2t_{n+1}-1)_v + v^{a_{n+1,n+1}+2t_{n+1}}) = (t_p)_v.$$

If $p>n+1$, then the term is

$$\frac{\binom{a_{n+1,N+1-p}+t_p+t_{N+1-p}-1}{t_{N+1-p}}_v \binom{a_{n+1,p}+t_p-1}{t_p-1}_v}{\binom{a_{n+1,N+1-p}+t_p+t_{N+1-p}}{t_{N+1-p}}_v \binom{a_{n+1,p}+t_p}{t_p}_v}(a_{n+1,p}+t_p+t_{N+1-p})_v = (t_p)_v.$$

Summing up, we have

$$\sum_{t',\underline{p}} d_{t'}(\mathfrak{a}) d_{\underline{p}}(\mathfrak{a}(n,t'))/d_t(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_p v^{2\sum_{j<p} t_j}(t_p)_v = \frac{\sum_p (v^{2\sum_{j\le p} t_j} - v^{2\sum_{j\le p-1} t_j})}{v^2-1} = (r+1)_v.$$

This proves (36) under the assumption that $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}>0$. The proof of (36) for the case of $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=0$ is similar and skipped. □

4.4. **$\mathcal{S}$-action on $\mathcal{V}$.** A degenerate version of Proposition 4.3.2 gives us an explicit description of the $\mathcal{S}$-action on $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{A}_G(\mathscr{X}\times\mathscr{Y})$ as follows. For any $r_j\in[1,N]$, we denote $\check{r}_j=r_j+1$ and $\hat{r}_j=r_j-1$.

Corollary 4.4.1. *For any $1\le i\le n$, we have*

$$E_i * e_{r_1\cdots r_D} = v^{-\sum_{1\le j\le D}\delta_{i+1,r_j}} \sum_{p,\ r_p=i} v^{2\sum_{j<p}\delta_{i+1,r_j}}\ e_{r_1\cdots,\check{r}_p\cdots\hat{r}_{D+1-p}\cdots r_D},$$

$$F_i * e_{r_1\cdots r_D} = v^{-\sum_{1\le j\le D}\delta_{i,r_j}} \sum_{p,\ r_p=i+1} v^{2\sum_{j>p}\delta_{i,r_j}} e_{r_1\cdots,\hat{r}_p\cdots\check{r}_{D+1-p}\cdots r_D},$$

$$H_i^{\pm 1} * e_{r_1\cdots r_D} = v^{\mp\sum_{1\le j\le D}\delta_{a,r_j}} e_{r_1\cdots r_D} \quad \text{and}$$

$$J_+ * e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D} = \begin{cases} e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D}, & r_i \ne n+1, \forall i, \#\{j\in[1,d]|r_j\ge n+1\} \text{ is even},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise},\end{cases}$$

$$J_- * e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D} = \begin{cases} e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D}, & r_i \ne n+1, \forall i, \#\{j\in[1,d]|r_j\ge n+1\} \text{ is odd},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise},\end{cases}$$

$$J_0 * e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D} = \begin{cases} e_{r_1,\cdots,r_D}, & r_i = n+1, \text{ for some } i,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Since the number of columns of the matrix associated to $e_{r_1\cdots r_D}$ is $D = 2d$, the second term in (32) disappears when we calculate the E_n action on $e_{r_1\cdots r_D}$. The first two identities follow directly from Proposition 4.3.2. The last four identities are straightforward. □

4.5. **Standard basis of $\mathcal{S}$.** In this subsection, we assume that the ground field is an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ when we talk about the dimension of a G-orbit or its stabilizer. We set

$$d(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad r(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}, \quad \forall \mathfrak{a}\in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}},$$

where $\mathfrak{b} = (b_{ij})^{\epsilon}$ is the signed diagonal matrix such that $b_{ii} = \sum_k a_{ik}$ and $\epsilon = \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{a}), s_l(\mathfrak{a}))$. Denote by $\mathrm{C}_G(V, V')$ the stabilizer of (V, V') in G.

Lemma 4.5.1. *We have*

$$\dim\ \mathrm{C}_G(V,V') = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i\ge k, j\ge l} a_{ij}a_{kl} - \sum_{i\ge n+1, j\ge n+1} a_{ij}\right), \quad \text{if } (V,V')\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

$$\dim\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i<k \text{ or } j<l} a_{ij}a_{kl} - \sum_{i<n+1 \text{ or } j<n+1} a_{ij}\right),$$

$$d(\mathfrak{a}) - r(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i\ge k, j<l} a_{ij}a_{kl} - \sum_{i\ge n+1>j} a_{ij}\right).$$

Notice that the above dimensions are independent of the sign of $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Let Z_{ij} be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ with $\dim Z_{ij} = a_{ij}$ such that $V_r = \oplus_{i\le r,j} Z_{ij}$, $V'_s = \oplus_{i,j\le s} Z_{ij}$ for all $r, s\in[1,n]$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^D = \oplus_{i,j} Z_{ij}$. With respect to the decomposition, an endomorphism T of $\mathbb{F}_q^D$ is determined by a family of linear maps $T_{(ij),(kl)} : Z_{ij}\to Z_{kl}$. Similar to [BKLW14, 3.4], the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{C}_G(V,V')$ is the space of such T satisfying the following conditions.

(a) $T_{(ij),(kl)} \ne 0$ implies that $i\ge k$ and $j\ge l$;
(b) $T_{(ij),(kl)} = -{}^tT_{(N+1-k,N+1-l),(N+1-i,N+1-j)}, \quad \forall i,j,k,l\in[1,N]$.

Note that $T_{(ij),(kl)} = -{}^tT_{(ij),(kl)}$ if and only if $i+k = N+1$ and $j+l = N+1$. In this case, $a_{ij} = a_{kl}$ and the dimension of such $T_{(ij),(kl)}$ is $\frac{1}{2}(a_{ij}a_{kl} - a_{ij})$, from which the first equality follows.

By using $\dim G = \frac{1}{2}D(D-1) = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i,j,k,l} a_{ij}a_{kl} - \sum_{i,j} a_{ij})$, we have the second equality. The third equality follows from the previous two equalities. □

For any $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, let

$$[\mathfrak{a}] = v^{-(d(\mathfrak{a})-r(\mathfrak{a}))}e_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

We define a bar involution '$-$' on $\mathcal{A}$ by $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.5.1, Corollary 4.3.4 can be rewritten in the following form.

Corollary 4.5.2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{a} = A^{\alpha}$, $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, $h \in [1,n]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(a) If $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s_r(\mathfrak{b}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{b} - rE^{\theta}_{h,h+1}$ is diagonal, then we have

$$[\mathfrak{b}] * [\mathfrak{a}] = \sum_{t:\sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u = r} v^{\beta(t)} \prod_{u=1}^{N} \overline{\binom{a_{hu}+t_u}{t_u}}_v [\mathfrak{a}_t], \text{ where} \tag{37}$$

$$\beta(t) = \sum_{j\geq l} a_{hj}t_l - \sum_{j>l} a_{h+1,j}t_l + \sum_{j<l} t_jt_l + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{hn}\Big(\sum_{j+l<N+1} t_jt_l + \sum_{j<n+1} t_j\Big),$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_t = \left(A + \sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u(E^{\theta}_{hu} - E^{\theta}_{h+1,u}), \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{b}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))\right) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

(b) If $h \neq n$, $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s_r(\mathfrak{c}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{c} - rE^{\theta}_{h+1,h}$ is diagonal, then

$$[\mathfrak{c}] * [\mathfrak{a}] = \sum_{t:\sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u = r} v^{\beta'(t)} \prod_{u=1}^{N} \overline{\binom{a_{h+1,u}+t_u}{t_u}}_v [\mathfrak{a}(h,t)], \text{ where} \tag{38}$$

$$\beta'(t) = \sum_{j\leq l} a_{h+1,j}t_l - \sum_{j<l} a_{hj}t_l + \sum_{j<l} t_jt_l,$$

$$\mathfrak{a}(h,t) = \left(A - \sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u(E^{\theta}_{hu} - E^{\theta}_{h+1,u}), \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{c}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))\right) \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

(c) If the condition $h \neq n$ in (b) is replaced by $h = n$, then we have

$$[\mathfrak{c}] * [\mathfrak{a}] = \sum_{t:\sum_{u=1}^{N} t_u = r} v^{\beta''(t)}\ \overline{\mathcal{G}}\ [\mathfrak{a}(n,t)], \text{ where} \tag{39}$$

$$\beta''(t) = \sum_{j\leq l} a_{n+1,j}t_l - \sum_{j<l} a_{nj}t_l + \sum_{j<l,j+l\geq N+1} t_jt_l + r(r-1)/2 - \sum_{j<n+1} t_j(t_j-1)/2,$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \prod_{u<n+1} \binom{a_{n+1,u}+t_u+t_{N+1-u}}{t_u}_v \cdot \prod_{u>n+1} \binom{a_{n+1,u}+t_u}{t_u}_v \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{t_{n+1}-1} L_i,$$

$$L_i = \frac{(a_{n+1,n+1}+1+2i)_v + (1-\delta_{0,i}\delta_{0,\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}})v^{a_{n+1,n+1}+2i}}{(i+1)_v}.$$

The proof involves lengthy mechanical computations and is hence skipped.

4.6. **Generators of $\mathcal{S}$.** Define a partial order " $\leq$ " on $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ by $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. For any $\mathfrak{a} = (a_{ij})^{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (b_{ij})^{\epsilon}$ in $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we say that $\mathfrak{a} \preceq \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $\alpha = \epsilon$ and the following two conditions hold.

$$\sum_{r\leq i, s\geq j} a_{rs} \leq \sum_{r\leq i, s\geq j} b_{rs}, \quad \forall i < j. \tag{40}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{r\leq n,\\ s>N+1-j}} a_{rs} \equiv \sum_{\substack{r\leq n,\\ s>N+1-j}} b_{rs} \mod 2, \quad \text{if} \tag{41}$$

$$\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} = 0, \quad \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{b})_{n+1} = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b})_{n+1} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i\leq r\leq N+1-i,\\ j\leq s\leq N+1-j}} a_{rs} = \sum_{\substack{i\leq r\leq N+1-i,\\ j\leq s\leq N+1-j}} b_{rs} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{r<i,\\ s>N+1-j}} a_{rs} = \sum_{\substack{r<i,\\ s>N+1-j}} b_{rs}, \quad \forall i, j \in [1, n].$$

The relation " $\preceq$ " defines a second partial order on $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$. We say that $\mathfrak{a} \prec \mathfrak{b}$ if $\mathfrak{a} \preceq \mathfrak{b}$ and at least one of the inequalities in (40) is strict. By [BB05, Theorem 8.2.8] and [BKLW14, Lemma 3.8], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6.1. *$\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} \preceq \mathfrak{b}$ for any $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$.*

We shall denote by "$[\mathfrak{m}]+$ lower terms" an element in $\mathcal{S}$ which is equal to $[\mathfrak{m}]$ plus a linear combination of $[\mathfrak{m}']$ with $\mathfrak{m}' \prec \mathfrak{m}$. By Corollary (4.5.2), we have

Corollary 4.6.2. *Fix positive integers r, c and h with c positive and even and $h \in [1, n]$.*

(a) Assume that $\mathfrak{a} = (a_{ij})^{\alpha} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ satisfies one of the following two conditions:

(1) $a_{hj} = 0, \forall j \geq k,\ a_{h+1,k} = r,\ a_{h+1,j} = 0, \forall j > k,$ if $h < n$;
(2) $a_{nj} = 0, \forall j \geq k,\ a_{n+1,k} = r + (r + c)\delta_{n+1,k},\ a_{n+1,j} = 0, \forall j > k,$ if $h = n, k \geq n + 1$.

If $\mathfrak{b}$ is subject to $\mathfrak{b} - rE^{\theta}_{h,h+1}$ is diagonal, $s_r(\mathfrak{b}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, then

$$[\mathfrak{b}] * [\mathfrak{a}] = [\mathfrak{a}_{t(k)}] + \text{lower terms}, \quad \textit{where} \quad t(k)_u = r\delta_{u,k}.$$

(b) Assume that $\mathfrak{a} = (a_{ij})^{\alpha} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1)\ a_{hj} = 0, \forall j < k, \quad a_{hk} = r, \quad a_{h+1,j} = 0, \forall j \leq k, \quad \text{if } h < n, \text{ or} \\ &(2)\ a_{nj} = 0, \forall j < k, \quad a_{nk} = r, \quad a_{n+1,j} = 0, \forall j \leq k, \quad \text{if } h = n, k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathfrak{c}$ satisfies that $\mathfrak{c} - rE^{\theta}_{h+1,h}$ is diagonal, $s_r(\mathfrak{c}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, then

$$[\mathfrak{c}] * [\mathfrak{a}] = [\mathfrak{a}(h, t(k))] + \text{lower terms}.$$

We define an order on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(i, j) < (i', j') \quad \text{if and only if} \quad j' - i' < j - i \text{ or } j' - i' = j - i, i' < i. \tag{42}$$

By using Corollary 4.6.2, we are able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6.3. *For any $\mathfrak{a} = (a_{ij})^{\alpha} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we set $R_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{kj}$. There exist signed matrices $\mathfrak{m}(i, j)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}(i, j) - R_{ij}E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is diagonal and*

$$\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq N} [\mathfrak{m}(i, j)] = [\mathfrak{a}] + \text{lower terms},$$

where the product is taken in the order (42). The product has $N(N - 1)/2$ terms.

Proof. We show the theorem for $n = 2$. Let B_{10} be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries being $(\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_1, \cdots, \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_5)$. We set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{10} = (B_{10}, \mathrm{sgn}(s_r(\mathfrak{a}), s_r(\mathfrak{a}))).$$

For $i = 1, \cdots, 4$, let B_{1i} be the matrix such that $B_{1i} - R_{i,i+1}E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is a diagonal matrix and $\mathrm{co}(B_{1i}) = \mathrm{ro}(B_{1,i-1})$. We set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{1i} = (B_{1,i}, \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}), s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}))),$$

where $s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})$ are defined inductively by

$$s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}) = s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i-1}),\ \forall i \in [1,4],$$

$$s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})_{n+1} \neq 0, \\ s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}) + (-1)^{s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})}\mathrm{p}(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}), & \text{if } \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})_{n+1} = 0 = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})_{n+1}, \\ 2 \text{ or } 3, & \text{if } \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})_{n+1} = 0,\ \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})_{n+1} \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

We note that $s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i})$ has multiple choices in some cases. When this case happens, we always set $s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,i}) = 2$. By Corollary 4.6.2, we have

$$[\mathfrak{b}_{14}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{13}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{12}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{11}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{10}] = [\mathfrak{a}_1] + \text{lower terms}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 = (A_1, \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{1,4}), s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{1,0}))) \text{ with } A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & R_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{45} & * & R_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{34} & * & R_{34} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_{23} & * & R_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{12} & * \end{pmatrix},$$

and the $*$s in the diagonal are some nonnegative integers uniquely determined by $\mathrm{co}(A_1) = \mathrm{co}(B_{10})$. Now let B_{ji} be the matrices such that $B_{ji} - R_{i,i+j}E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is a diagonal matrix and $\mathrm{co}(B_{j,i}) = \mathrm{ro}(B_{j,i-1})$ for all $i \in [1, 5-j], j \in [2,4]$. Here we assume that $B_{j0} = B_{j-1,6-j}$. We set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{ji} = (B_{ji}, \mathrm{sgn}(s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{ji}), s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{ji}))),$$

where $s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{ji})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{b}_{ji})$ are defined in a similar way as (43) and $s_l(\mathfrak{b}_{ji}) = 2$ if it has multiple choices. By repeating the above process, we have

$$[\mathfrak{b}_{41}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{32}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{31}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{23}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{22}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{21}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{14}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{13}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{12}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{11}] * [\mathfrak{b}_{10}] = [\mathfrak{a}] + \text{lower terms}.$$

Theorem follows for $n = 2$. The general case can be shown similarly. □

We have immediately

Corollary 4.6.4. *The products $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N}[\mathfrak{m}(i,j)]$ for any $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ in Theorem 4.6.3 form a basis for $\mathcal{S}$. (It is called a monomial basis of $\mathcal{S}$.)*

By (37), (38) and (39) and Corollary 4.6.4, we have

Corollary 4.6.5. *The algebra $\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{S}$) is generated by the elements $[\mathfrak{e}]$ such that $\mathfrak{e} - RE^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ (resp. either $\mathfrak{e}$ or $\mathfrak{e} - E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$) is diagonal for some $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in [1, N-1]$.*

Observe that

$$E_i = \sum [\mathfrak{c}],\ F_i = \sum [\mathfrak{b}],\ H_a^{\pm 1} = \sum v^{\mp d_a}[\mathfrak{d}], \quad \forall i \in [1,n], a \in [1, n+1],$$

where $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ run over all signed matrices in $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ such that $\mathfrak{b}-E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$, $\mathfrak{c}-E^{\theta}_{i+1,i}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ are diagonal, respectively, and d_a is the (a,a)-entry of the matrix in $\mathfrak{d}$. We have the following corollary by Corollary 4.6.5.

Corollary 4.6.6. *The algebra $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{S}$ is generated by the functions E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm 1}$, J_α for any $i\in[1,n]$, $a\in[1,n+1]$ and $\alpha\in\{+,0,-\}$.*

Remark 4.6.7. The order (42) in Theorem 4.6.3 is different from the ones in [BKLW14, Theorem 3.6.1] and [BLM90, 3.9]. It can be shown that using the latter orders, one can construct a different monomial basis for the algebra $\mathcal{S}$.

4.7. **Canonical basis of $\mathcal{S}$.** In this subsection, we assume that the ground field is an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the intersection cohomology complex of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, normalized so that the restriction of $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the constant sheaf on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Since $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a G-equivariant complex and the stabilizers of the points in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are connected, the restriction of the i-th cohomology sheaf $\mathscr{H}^i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}}(IC_{\mathfrak{a}})$ of $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ for $\mathfrak{b}\le\mathfrak{a}$ is a trivial local system. We denote $n_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a},i}$ the rank of this local system. We set

$$\{\mathfrak{a}\}=\sum_{\mathfrak{b}\le\mathfrak{a}}P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{b}],\quad\text{where}\quad P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}}=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}n_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a},i}v^{i-d(\mathfrak{a})+d(\mathfrak{b})}. \tag{44}$$

The polynomials $P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}}$ satisfy

$$P_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}}=1\quad\text{and}\quad P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}}\in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]\text{ for any }\mathfrak{b}<\mathfrak{a}. \tag{45}$$

Since $\{[\mathfrak{a}]|\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $\mathcal{S}$, by (44) and (45), we have

Lemma 4.7.1. *The set $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\}|\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ forms an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $\mathcal{S}$, called the canonical basis.*

By the sheaf-function principle, we have

Corollary 4.7.2. *The structure constants of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\}|\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ are in $\mathbb{N}[v,v^{-1}]$.*

4.8. **Inner product on $\mathcal{S}$.** We shall define an inner product on $\mathcal{S}$ following [M10, Section 3] and [BKLW14, 3.7]. Since the arguments and statements are very similar, we shall be sketchy.

Denote by ${}^tA$ the transposition matrix of A. For any signed matrix $\mathfrak{a}=(A,\epsilon)$, we define ${}^t\mathfrak{a}=({}^tA,\epsilon')$ where

$$\epsilon'=\begin{cases}\epsilon, & \text{if }\sup(\mathfrak{a})\neq(2,3),(3,2),\\ -\epsilon, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

For any $\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we set

$$d_{\mathfrak{a}}=d(\mathfrak{a})-r(\mathfrak{a})\quad\text{and}\quad\mathscr{X}^V_{\mathfrak{a}}=\{V'\in\mathscr{X}|(V,V')\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}\}. \tag{46}$$

We define a bilinear form

$$(-,-)_D:\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{A}$$

by

$$(f_1,f_2)_D=\sum_{V,V'\in\mathscr{X}}v^{\sum_i|V_i/V_{i-1}|^2-\sum_i|V'_i/V'_{i-1}|^2}f_1(V,V')f_2(V,V'),\quad\forall f_1,f_2\in\mathcal{S}.$$

In particular,

$$(e_{\mathfrak{a}},e_{\mathfrak{b}})_D=\delta_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}v^{2(d_{\mathfrak{a}}-d_{t_{\mathfrak{a}}})}\#\mathscr{X}^V_{t_{\mathfrak{a}}},\quad\forall\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}},$$

where V is any element in $\mathscr{X}$ such that $|V_i/V_{i-1}| = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_i$. By the definition of $d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and Lemma 4.5.1, we have

$$d_{\mathfrak{a}} - d_{{}^t\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_i (\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_i^2 - \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_i^2) - \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} - \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}).$$

This implies that

$$d_{\mathfrak{a}} - d_{{}^t\mathfrak{a}} + d_{\mathfrak{b}} - d_{{}^t\mathfrak{b}} = d_{\mathfrak{c}} - d_{{}^t\mathfrak{c}} \tag{47}$$

if $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{c})$, $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{c})$. By using (47) and the same argument as the one proving Proposition 3.2 in [M10], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.8.1. *For any $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we have*

$$([\mathfrak{a}]e_{\mathfrak{b}}, e_{\mathfrak{c}})_D = v^{d_{\mathfrak{a}} - d_{{}^t\mathfrak{a}}}(e_{\mathfrak{b}}, [{}^t\mathfrak{a}]e_{\mathfrak{c}})_D.$$

Moreover, the following proposition holds from Proposition 4.8.1.

Proposition 4.8.2. *For any $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$, we have*

$$([\mathfrak{b}], [\mathfrak{c}])_D \in \delta_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}} + v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}], \quad \textit{and} \quad (x\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c})_D = (\mathfrak{b}, \rho(x)\mathfrak{c})_D, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S},$$

where ρ is defined in (18).

We define a bar involution $^-\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ by

$$\bar{v} = v^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{[\mathfrak{e}]} = [\mathfrak{e}],$$

for any $\mathfrak{e}$ in $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ such that $\mathfrak{e} - RE^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ for some $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in [1, N-1]$. By an argument similar to [BLM90] and [BKLW14], we have $\overline{\{\mathfrak{a}\}} = \{\mathfrak{a}\}$. By Proposition 4.8.2 and this observation, we have

Corollary 4.8.3. *The canonical basis $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\} | \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{S}$ is characterized up to sign by the properties:*

$$\{\mathfrak{a}\} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \overline{\{\mathfrak{a}\}} = \{\mathfrak{a}\} \quad \textit{and} \quad (\{\mathfrak{a}\}, \{\mathfrak{a}'\})_D \in \delta_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}'} + v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}].$$

5. The limit algebra $\mathcal{K}$ and its canonical basis

We shall apply the stabilization process to the algebras $\mathcal{S}$ in (5) as D goes to ∞, following [BLM90]. We write $\mathcal{S}_D$ to emphasize the dependence on D, and $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}(D)$ for the set $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$ in (23) for the same reason.

5.1. **Stabilization.** Let $I' = I - E_{n+1,n+1}$, where I is the identity matrix. We set

$${}_pA = A + 2pI' \quad \text{and} \quad {}_p\mathfrak{a} = ({}_pA, \alpha), \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha).$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}} = \{\mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{N\times N}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \{+, 0, -\} | {}_p\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}(D) \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{Z}, D \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{48}$$

For any matrix $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, the notations introduced in (28) and (29) are still well-defined and will be used freely in the following. Moreover, we observe that $\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{sgn}({}_p\mathfrak{a})$. Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathcal{A}}\{[\mathfrak{a}] | \mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}\},$$

where the notation $[\mathfrak{a}]$ is a formal symbol bearing no geometric meaning. Let v' be a second indeterminate, and

$$\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{Q}(v)[v', v'^{-1}]. \tag{49}$$

We have

Proposition 5.1.1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \cdots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ $(r \geq 2)$ are signed matrices in $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ such that $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a}_{i+1})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{a}_i) = s_l(\mathfrak{a}_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. There exist $\mathfrak{z}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{z}_m \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, $G_j(v, v') \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that in $\mathcal{S}_D$ for some D, we have*

$$[{}_p\mathfrak{a}_1] * [{}_p\mathfrak{a}_2] * \cdots * [{}_p\mathfrak{a}_r] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} G_j(v, v^{-p})[{}_p\mathfrak{z}_j], \quad \forall p \in 2\mathbb{N}, p \geq p_0.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the one for Proposition 4.2 in [BLM90] by using Corollary 4.5.2 and Theorem 4.6.3. The main difference is that when $h = n$, the twists $\beta(t)$ and $\beta''(t)$ in (37) and (39), respectively, change when $\mathfrak{a}$ is replaced by ${}_p\mathfrak{a}$. To remedy this difference, we adjust these two twists as follows.

$$\gamma(t) = \beta(t) - a_{nn} \sum_{l \leq n} t_l \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma''(t) = \beta''(t) + a_{nn} \sum_{n < l} t_l, \quad \text{if } h = n.$$

Then the new twists $\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma''(t)$ remain the same when $\mathfrak{a}$ is replaced by ${}_p\mathfrak{a}$. For example, when $r = 2$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1$ is chosen such that $\mathfrak{a}_1 - RE^{\theta}_{n,n+1}$ is a diagonal with $R \in \mathbb{N}$, the structure constant $G_t(v, v')$ is defined by

$$G_t(v, v') = v^{\gamma(t)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq N \\ u \neq n}} \overline{\binom{a_{nu} + t_u}{t_u}}_v \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t_n} \frac{v^{-2(a_{nn} + t_n - i + 1)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2i} - 1} v^{\sum_{l \leq n} a_{nn} t_l} v'^{-\sum_{l \leq n} t_l}.$$

Similaryly, if $r = 2$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1$ is chosen such that $\mathfrak{a}_1 - RE^{\theta}_{n+1,n}$ is diagonal with $R \in \mathbb{N}$, the structure constant $G_t(v, v')$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_t(v, v') = & v^{\gamma''(t)} v^{-\sum_{n<l} a_{nn} t_l} \prod_{1 \leq u \leq N, u \neq n+1} \overline{\binom{a_{n+1,u} + t_u}{t_u}}_v \\ & \prod_{1 \leq t \leq t_{n+1}} \frac{v^{-2(a_{n+1,n+1} + t_{n+1} - i + 1)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2i} - 1} \cdot v'^{\sum_{n<l} t_l}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case when $\mathfrak{a}_1$ is chosen such that $\mathfrak{a}_1 - RE^{\theta}_{h,h+1}$ or $\mathfrak{a}_1 - RE^{\theta}_{h+1,h}$ is diagonal for some $h < n$, then the structural constant $G_t(v, v')$ is defined similarly as that in the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [BLM90], i.e.,

$$G_t(v, v') = v^{\beta(t)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq N \\ u \neq h}} \overline{\binom{a_{hu} + t_u}{t_u}}_v \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t_h} \frac{v^{-2(a_{hh} + t_h - i + 1)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2i} - 1},$$

for $\mathfrak{a}_1$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_1 - RE^{\theta}_{h,h+1}$ is diagonal for some $h < n$, and

$$G_t(v, v') = v^{\beta'(t)} \prod_{1 \leq u \leq N, u \neq h+1} \overline{\binom{a_{h+1,u} + t_u}{t_u}}_v \prod_{1 \leq t \leq t_{h+1}} \frac{v^{-2(a_{h+1,h+1} + t_{h+1} - i + 1)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2i} - 1},$$

for $\mathfrak{a}_1$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_1 - RE^{\theta}_{h+1,h}$ is diagonal for some $h < n$. Bearing in mind the above modifications, the rest of the proof for Proposition 4.2 in [BLM90] can be repeated here. $\square$

By specialization v' at $v' = 1$, we have

Corollary 5.1.2. *There is a unique associative $\mathcal{A}$-algebra structure on $\mathcal{K}$, without unit, where the product is given by*

$$[\mathfrak{a}_1] \cdot [\mathfrak{a}_2] \cdot \cdots \cdot [\mathfrak{a}_r] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} G_j(v,1)[\mathfrak{z}_j]$$

if $\mathfrak{a}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ are as in Proposition 5.1.1.

By corollary 5.1.2 and comparing the $G_t(v,1)$'s with (37), (38) and (39), the structure of $\mathcal{K}$ can be determined by the following multiplication formulas. Recall the notations from (28).

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ be chosen such that $\mathfrak{b} - rE^{\theta}_{h,h+1}$ is diagonal for some $1 \le h \le n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{b}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$. Then we have

$$[\mathfrak{b}] \cdot [\mathfrak{a}] = \sum_t v^{\beta(t)} \prod_{u=1}^{N} \overline{\binom{a_{hu} + t_u}{t_u}}_v \, [\mathfrak{a}_t], \tag{50}$$

where the sum is taken over all $t = (t_u) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ such that $\sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r$, $\beta(t)$ is defined in (37), and $\mathfrak{a}_t \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is in (33).

Similarly, if $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ are chosen such that $\mathfrak{c} - rE^{\theta}_{h+1,h}$ is diagonal for some $1 \le h < n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{c}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$, then we have

$$[\mathfrak{c}] \cdot [\mathfrak{a}] = \sum_t v^{\beta'(t)} \prod_{u=1}^{N} \overline{\binom{a_{h+1,u} + t_u}{t_u}}_v \, [\mathfrak{a}(h,t)], \tag{51}$$

where the sum is taken over all $t = (t_u) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ such that $\sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r$, $\beta'(t)$ is defined in (38), and $\mathfrak{a}(h,t) \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is in (34).

If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ are chosen such that $\mathfrak{c} - rE^{\theta}_{n+1,n}$ is diagonal for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{c}) = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$, then we have

$$[\mathfrak{c}] \cdot [\mathfrak{a}] = \sum_{t : \sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r} v^{\beta''(t)} \, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \, [\mathfrak{a}(n,t)], \tag{52}$$

where the sum is taken over all $t = (t_u) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ such that $\sum_{u=1}^N t_u = r$, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\beta''(t)$ are in (39) and $\mathfrak{a}(n,t) \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

Given $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, we shall denote $\mathfrak{a}' \sqsubseteq \mathfrak{a}$ if $\mathfrak{a}' \preceq \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a}') = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})$, $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a}') = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s_l(\mathfrak{a}') = s_l(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{a}') = s_r(\mathfrak{a})$.

By using (50), (51) and (52) and arguing in a similar way as the proof of Theorem 4.6.3, we have

Proposition 5.1.3. *For any $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, there exist signed matrices $\mathfrak{m}(i,j)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}(i,j) - R_{ij}E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is diagonal with $R_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{kj}$ and*

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv \prod_{1\le i<j\le N} [\mathfrak{m}(i,j)] = [\mathfrak{a}] + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}' \sqsubseteq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \neq \mathfrak{a}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{a}'], \tag{53}$$

where $\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathcal{A}$ and the product is taken in the order (42).

As a consequence of the above proposition, we have

Proposition 5.1.4. *The algebra $\mathcal{K}$ is generated by the elements $[\mathfrak{e}]$ such that $\mathfrak{e} - rE^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is diagonal for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and i such that $1 \le i < N$.*

We set

$$[k]_v = \frac{v^k - v^{-k}}{v - v^{-1}}. \tag{54}$$

By applying (50), (51) and (52), we have

$$[\mathfrak{e}] \cdot [\mathfrak{e}'] = [r+1]_v[\mathfrak{e}''], \tag{55}$$

if $\mathfrak{e} - E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$, $\mathfrak{e}' - rE^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$, and $\mathfrak{e}'' - (r+1)E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ are diagonal for some $i \in [1, N-1]$, $s_r(\mathfrak{e}) = s_l(\mathfrak{e}')$, $s_l(\mathfrak{e}) = s_l(\mathfrak{e}'')$ and $s_r(\mathfrak{e}') = s_r(\mathfrak{e}'')$. From this observation, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1.5. *The algebra $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}$ is generated by the elements $[\mathfrak{e}]$ such that either $\mathfrak{e}$ or $\mathfrak{e} - E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is diagonal for some i such that $1 \le i < N$.*

5.2. **Bases of $\mathcal{K}$.** We define a bar involution $^- : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\bar{v} = v^{-1}, \quad \overline{[\mathfrak{e}]} = [\mathfrak{e}],$$

for any $\mathfrak{e}$ such that $\mathfrak{e} - RE^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is diagonal for some $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in [1, N-1]$. By using (53), we have

$$\overline{[\mathfrak{a}]} = [\mathfrak{a}] + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}' : \mathfrak{a}' \sqsubseteq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \neq \mathfrak{a}} c_{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{a}'], \quad \text{for some } c_{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

By a standard argument similar to the proof of Proposition 4.7 in [BLM90], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2.1. *For any $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, there exists a unique element $\{\mathfrak{a}\}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\overline{\{\mathfrak{a}\}} = \{\mathfrak{a}\}, \quad \{\mathfrak{a}\} = [\mathfrak{a}] + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}' \sqsubset \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \neq \mathfrak{a}} \pi_{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{a}'], \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{a}} \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}].$$

By Propositions 5.1.3 and 5.2.1, we have

Corollary 5.2.2. *The algebra $\mathcal{K}$ possesses a standard basis $\{[\mathfrak{a}] | \mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}\}$, a monomial basis $\{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{a}} | \mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ and a canonical basis $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\} | \mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}\}$.*

5.3. **From $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{S}$.** We define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{S} \tag{56}$$

by

$$\Psi([\mathfrak{a}]) = \begin{cases} [\mathfrak{a}], & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By comparing the multiplication formulas (37), (38) and (39) with (50), (51) and (52), respectively, and following an argument in [Fu12] and [BKLW14, Appendix A], we have

Theorem 5.3.1. *The map Ψ in (56) is a surjective algebra homomorphism. Moreover we have*

$$\Psi(\{\mathfrak{a}\}) = \begin{cases} \{\mathfrak{a}\}, & \textit{if } \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}}, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now the algebra $\mathcal{K}$ acts on the $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{V}$ in (7) via Ψ and the $\mathcal{S}$-action. By Lemma 3.2.1, we have

Proposition 5.3.2. *The algebra $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$ form a double centralizer, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}, \quad \textit{if } n \geq d, \textit{ and } \quad \mathcal{K} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}}(\mathcal{V}) \textit{ is surjective.}$$

5.4. **Towards a presentation of $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{K}$.** We make an observation of the signed diagonal matrices in $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ in (48). We denote by D_λ the diagonal matrix whose i-th diagonal entry is λ_i, for any $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. We have

Lemma 5.4.1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{d} = (D_\lambda, \epsilon)$ is a signed diagonal matrix in $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$. Then we have $\lambda_i = \lambda_{N+1-i}$ and $\lambda_{n+1} \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Moreover,*

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}) = \pm, \\ \geq 2 & \text{if } \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For any signed diagonal matrix $\mathfrak{d}$, we set

$$E_h\mathfrak{d} = [(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{h,h} + E^\theta_{h+1,h}, \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}))], \quad \forall h \in [1, n],$$
$$F_h\mathfrak{d} = [(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{h+1,h+1} + E^\theta_{h,h+1}, \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}))], \quad \forall h \in [1, n-1].$$

For a signed diagonal matrix $\mathfrak{d} = (D_\lambda, 0)$ of sign 0, we set

$$F_n\mathfrak{d} = \begin{cases} [(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{n+1,n+1} + E^\theta_{n,n+1}, 0)] & \text{if } \lambda_{n+1} \geq 4, \\ [(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{n+1,n+1} + E^\theta_{n,n+1}, +)] + [(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{n+1,n+1} + E^\theta_{n,n+1}, -)] & \text{if } \lambda_{n+1} = 2. \end{cases}$$

For any element $y \in \mathbf{U}$ in Section 2.1 and singed diagonal matrix $\mathfrak{d}$, we shall define the notation $y\mathfrak{d}$. We may assume that y is homogeneous. We assume that $x\mathfrak{d}$ is defined for all homogenous $x \in \mathbf{U}$ of degree strictly less than y , then we define

$$E_j x\mathfrak{d} = \sum [\mathfrak{e}_j] \cdot x\mathfrak{d}, \quad \forall j \in [1, n], \tag{57}$$

where the sum runs over all signed matrices $\mathfrak{e}_j$ in $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ such that $\mathfrak{e}_j - E^\theta_{j+1,j}$ is diagonal. Although an infinite sum, there is only finitely many nonzero terms, hence well-defined. Similarly, we can define $F_j x\mathfrak{d}$ for any $j \in [1, n]$. Therefore, the notation $y\mathfrak{d}$ for $y \in \mathbf{U}$ is well-defined.

Proposition 5.4.2. *For any signed diagonal matrices $\mathfrak{d} = (D_\lambda, \epsilon)$, $\mathfrak{d}' = (D_{\lambda'}, \epsilon')$ in $\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, we have the following relations in $\mathcal{K}$.*

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}' = \delta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\mathfrak{d}. \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{d}'E_n\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}') = \pm,\\
&\mathfrak{d}'F_n\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}) = \pm,\\
&\mathfrak{d}E_h[(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{h,h} + E^\theta_{h+1,h+1}, \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}))] = E_h[(\mathfrak{d} - E^\theta_{h,h} + E^\theta_{h+1,h+1}, \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}))],\\
&\mathfrak{d}F_h[(\mathfrak{d} + E^\theta_{h,h} - E^\theta_{h+1,h+1}, \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}))] = E_h[(\mathfrak{d} + E^\theta_{h,h} - E^\theta_{h+1,h+1}, \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}))], \text{if } h \neq n,
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_nE_n\mathfrak{d} - \mathfrak{d}'F_nE_n\mathfrak{d} = [\lambda_n]_v\mathfrak{d}, && \text{if } \lambda = \lambda', \epsilon = -\epsilon' \neq 0,\\
&\mathfrak{d}'F_nE_n\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \mathfrak{d}F_nE_n\mathfrak{d}' = 0, && \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0, \operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}') = \pm,
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E_iF_j - F_jE_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, && \text{if } i \neq j,\\
&(E_iF_i - F_iE_i)\mathfrak{d} = [\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i]_v\mathfrak{d}, && \text{if } i \neq n,
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E_iE_iE_j - [2]_vE_iE_jE_i + E_jE_iE_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, && \text{if } |i-j| = 1,\\
&(F_iF_iF_j - [2]_vF_iF_jF_i + F_jF_iF_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, && \text{if } |i-j| = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

$$(E_iE_j - E_jE_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad (F_iF_j - F_jF_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \tag{63}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E_n^2F_n + F_nE_n^2)\mathfrak{d} = [2]_v(E_nF_nE_n - E_n(v^{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_n+1} + v^{-\lambda_{n+1}+\lambda_n-1}))\mathfrak{d},\\
&(F_n^2E_n + E_nF_n^2)\mathfrak{d} = [2]_v(F_nE_nF_n - (v^{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_n-2} + v^{-\lambda_{n+1}+\lambda_n+2})F_n)\mathfrak{d}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Proof. The proof of the identities in (58) and (59) are straightforward. We now show (60). By the multiplication formula (50), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_nE_n\mathfrak{d} &= [\lambda_n]_v[\mathfrak{d}] + [(D_{\lambda'} + E^\theta_{n,n+2}, -\epsilon)],\\
\mathfrak{d}'F_nE_n\mathfrak{d} &= [(D_{\lambda'} + E^\theta_{n,n+2}, -\epsilon)], \qquad \text{where } \lambda'_i = \lambda_i - \delta_{i,n} - \delta_{i,n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the first identity in (60) holds. Observe that if $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0$, then $\lambda_{n+1} \neq 0$; and if $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathfrak{d}) \neq 0$ then $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$. We have the second identities in (60) by this observation.

For the remaining relations, they can be proved by the following principle. Suppose that $x\mathfrak{d} = \sum C_{x\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{a}$ with $C_{x\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathcal{A}$. We can pick a large enough p such that ${}_p\mathfrak{d}$ and ${}_p\mathfrak{a}$ all have non-negative entries. For an appropriate D', we have an element in $\mathcal{S}_{D'}$ of the form $x\,{}_p\mathfrak{d}$ defined in a similar way as that in $\mathcal{K}$. We can write

$$x\,{}_p\mathfrak{d} = \sum {}_pC_{x\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{a}}(v, v')|_{v'=v^{-p}}\ {}_p\mathfrak{a} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{D'},$$

where ${}_pC_{x\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{a}}(v, v') \in \mathfrak{R}$ in (49). If x is of the form in the remaining relations, we have

$$C_{x\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{a}} = {}_pC_{x\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{a}}(v, v')|_{v'=1}.$$

This follows from the comparison of (50), (51) and (52) in $\mathcal{K}$ with (37), (38) and (39) in $\mathcal{S}_{D'}$, respectively. Now the remaining relations all hold in $\mathcal{S}_{D'}$ for all D' large enough by Proposition 4.1.1, so are those relations without specializing v'. Now relations in $\mathcal{K}$ are obtained by specializing $v' = 1$. □

5.5. **The algebra $\mathcal{U}$.** In this section, we shall define a new algebra $\mathcal{U}$ in the completion of $\mathcal{K}$ similar to [BLM90, Section 5]. We show that $\mathcal{U}$ is a quotient of the algebra $\mathbf{U}$ defined in Section 2.1.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-vector space of all formal sum $\sum_{\mathfrak{a}\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}}\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{a}]$ with $\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}\in\mathbb{Q}(v)$ and a locally finite property, i.e., for any $\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{Z}^N$, the sets $\{\mathfrak{a}\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}|\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})=\mathbf{t},\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}\neq 0\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{a}\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}|\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})=\mathbf{t},\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}\neq 0\}$ are finite. The space $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ becomes an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ when equipped with the following multiplication:

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{a}\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}}\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{a}]\cdot\sum_{\mathfrak{b}\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}}\xi_{\mathfrak{b}}[\mathfrak{b}]=\sum_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}\xi_{\mathfrak{b}}[\mathfrak{a}]\cdot[\mathfrak{b}],$$

where the product $[\mathfrak{a}]\cdot[\mathfrak{b}]$ is taken in $\mathcal{K}$. This is shown in exactly the same as [BLM90, Section 5].

Observe that the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ has a unit element $\sum\mathfrak{d}$, the summation of all diagonal signed matrices.

We define the following elements in $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$. For any nonzero signed matrix $\mathfrak{a}=(A,\epsilon)\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$, let $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}=(\hat{A},\epsilon)$, where $\hat{A}$ is the matrix obtained by replacing diagonal entries of A by zeroes. We set

$$\hat{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}=\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}|\mathfrak{a}\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}\}.$$

For any $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ in $\hat{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$ and $\mathbf{j}=(j_1,\cdots,j_N)\in\mathbb{Z}^N$, we define

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathbf{j})=\sum_{\lambda}v^{\lambda_1j_1+\cdots+\lambda_{n+1}j_{n+1}}[(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}+D_\lambda,\mathrm{sgn}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}))] \tag{65}$$

where the sum runs through all $\lambda=(\lambda_i)\in\mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}+D_\lambda,\mathrm{sgn}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}))\in\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

For any $i\in[1,n]$, there exist $\mathfrak{a}=(A,\epsilon)$ such that $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}=(E^{\theta}_{i+1,i},\epsilon)$ (resp. $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}=(E_{i,i+1},\epsilon)$. So by (65), the elements $E^{\theta,\epsilon}_{i+1,i}(\mathbf{j})$ (resp. $E^{\theta,\epsilon}_{i,i+1}(\mathbf{j})$) are well-defined, for any $\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^N$. Moreover, this definition is independent of the choice of $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$. For $i\in[1,n]$, let

$$E_i=E^{\theta,+}_{i+1,i}(0)+E^{\theta,0}_{i+1,i}(0)+E^{\theta,-}_{i+1,i}(0)\quad\text{and}\quad F_i=E^{\theta,+}_{i,i+1}(0)+E^{\theta,0}_{i,i+1}(0)+E^{\theta,-}_{i,i+1}(0).$$

For simplicity, we shall write $E^{\epsilon}_i(\mathbf{j})$ (resp. $F^{\epsilon}_i(\mathbf{j})$) instead of $E^{\theta,\epsilon}_{i+1,i}(\mathbf{j})$ (resp. $E^{\theta,\epsilon}_{i,i+1}(\mathbf{j})$).

We also define

$$0(\mathbf{j})=0^+(\mathbf{j})+0^0(\mathbf{j})+0^-(\mathbf{j}),\quad\text{where}$$
$$0^\epsilon(\mathbf{j})=\sum v^{\lambda_1j_1+\cdots+\lambda_{n+1}j_{n+1}}[\mathfrak{d}],$$

where the sum runs through all diagonal matrices $\mathfrak{d}$ with sign ϵ and λ_i's are diagonal entries of $\mathfrak{d}$.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ generated by $E_i,F_i,0(\mathbf{j}),0^+(0),0^0(0)$ and $0^-(0)$ for all $i\in[1,n]$ and $\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^N$.

Proposition 5.5.1. *The following relations hold in $\mathcal{U}$.*

$$0(\mathbf{j})0(\mathbf{j}')=0(\mathbf{j}')0(\mathbf{j}),\ 0^\pm(0)0(\mathbf{j})=0(\mathbf{j})0^\pm(0),\ 0^\pm(0)^2=0^\pm(0),$$
$$0^+(0)+0^0(0)+0^-(0)=1,\ 0^\alpha(0)0^\epsilon(0)=\delta_{\alpha,\epsilon}0^\alpha(0), \tag{66}$$

$$0(\mathbf{j})F_h=v^{j_h-j_{h+1}-\delta_{hn}j_{n+1}}F_h0(\mathbf{j}),\ 0(\mathbf{j})E_h=v^{-j_h+j_{h+1}+\delta_{hn}j_{n+1}}E_h0(\mathbf{j}), \tag{67}$$

$$0^\pm(0)E_h=(1-\delta_{hn})E_h0^\pm(0),\ F_h0^\pm(0)=(1-\delta_{hn})0^\pm(0)F_h, \tag{68}$$

$$0^\pm(0)F_nE_n-F_nE_n0^\mp(0)=\frac{0(\underline{n})-0(-\underline{n})}{v-v^{-1}}(0^\pm(0)-0^\mp(0)), \tag{69}$$

$$F_hE_h-E_hF_h=(v-v^{-1})^{-1}(0(\underline{h}-\underline{h+1})-0(\underline{h+1}-\underline{h})), \tag{70}$$

$$E_iF_n = F_nE_i, \quad F_iE_n = E_nF_i, \qquad \text{if } i \in [1, n-2],$$

$$E_iE_j = E_jE_i, \quad F_iF_j = F_jF_i, \qquad \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \tag{71}$$

$$E_n^2F_n + F_nE_n^2 = [2]_v(E_nF_nE_n - E_n(v0(\underline{n+1} - \underline{n}) + v^{-1}0(\underline{n} - \underline{n+1}))), \tag{72}$$

$$F_n^2E_n + E_nF_n^2 = [2]_v(F_nE_nF_n - (v0(\underline{n+1} - \underline{n})) + v^{-1}0(\underline{n} - \underline{n+1}))F_n),$$

$$E_i^2E_j - [2]_vE_iE_jE_i + E_jE_i^2 = 0, \quad \text{if } |i-j| = 1,\ i,j \in [1, n-1], \tag{73}$$

$$F_i^2F_j - [2]_vF_iF_jF_i + F_jF_i^2 = 0, \qquad \text{if } |i-j| = 1,\ i,j \in [1, n-1],$$

where $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, α, $\epsilon \in \{\pm, 0\}$, $h, i, j \in [1, n]$ *and* $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^N$ *is the vector whose* i*-th entry is 1 and 0 elsewhere.*

Proof. We show (67). By checking the values of functions s_l and s_r defined in (29) at $0(\mathbf{j})$ and F_n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0(\mathbf{j})F_n &= 0^+(\mathbf{j})F_n^+(0) + 0^0(\mathbf{j})F_n^0(0) + 0^-(\mathbf{j})F_n^-(0) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} v^{\sum \lambda_k j_k}[D_\lambda^+][(E_{n,n+1}^{\theta,+} + D_{\lambda'}, +)] \\ &+ \textstyle\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} v^{\sum \lambda_k j_k}[D_\lambda^-][(E_{n,n+1}^{\theta,-} + D_{\lambda'}, -)] + \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} v^{\sum \lambda_k j_k}[D_\lambda^0][(E_{n,n+1}^{\theta,0} + D_{\lambda'}, 0)] \\ &= v^{j_n}(F_n^+(\mathbf{j}) + F_n^0(\mathbf{j}) + F_n^-(\mathbf{j})) = v^{j_n}F_n(\mathbf{j}), \end{aligned}$$

where the sums run through in an obvious range by the definition in (65).

$$\begin{aligned} F_n0(\mathbf{j}) &= F_n^+(0)0^0(\mathbf{j}) + F_n^0(0)0^0(\mathbf{j}) + F_n^-(0)0^0(\mathbf{j}) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} v^{\sum \lambda_k j_k}[(E_{n,n+1}^{\theta,+} + D_{\lambda'}, +)][D_\lambda^0] \\ &+ \textstyle\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} v^{\sum z_k j_k}[(E_{n,n+1}^{\theta,0} + D_\lambda, 0)][D_\lambda^0] + \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} v^{\sum \lambda_k j_k}[(E_{n,n+1}^{\theta,-} + D_{\lambda'}, -)][D_\lambda^0] \\ &= v^{2j_{n+1}}(F_n^+(\mathbf{j}) + F_n^0(\mathbf{j}) + F_n^-(\mathbf{j})) = v^{2j_{n+1}}F_n(\mathbf{j}). \end{aligned}$$

So we have the first identity in (67) for the case of $h = n$. Other cases for the first identity and all other identities in (66) and (67) can be shown similarly.

We show (68). By the definition of $0^+(0)$ and F_h for $h < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0^+(0)F_h = 0^+(0)F_h^+(0) &= \textstyle\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'}[D_\lambda^+][(D_{\lambda'}^+ + E_{h,h+1}^\theta, +)] \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{\lambda'}[(D_{\lambda'}^+ + E_{h+1,h}^\theta, +)] = F_h0^+(0). \end{aligned}$$

The other identities in (68) can be shown similarly.

We show (69). By Proposition 5.4.2 (68), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0^+(0)F_nE_n &= \textstyle\sum_\lambda [D_\lambda^+]F_nE_n = \sum_\lambda([\lambda_n]_v[D_{\lambda_n}^+] + [(D_\lambda^+ + E_{n,n+2}^\theta, +)]) \\ F_nE_n0^-(0) &= \textstyle\sum_\lambda F_nE_n[D_\lambda^-] = \sum_\lambda([\lambda_n]_v[D_{\lambda_n}^-] + [(D_\lambda^+ + E_{n,n+2}^\theta, +)]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$0^+(0)F_nE_n - F_nE_n0^-(0) = \textstyle\sum_\lambda [\lambda_n]_v([D_\lambda^+] - [D_\lambda^-]) = \frac{0(\underline{n}) - 0(-\underline{n})}{v - v^{-1}}(0^+(0) - 0^-(0)).$$

We now show (72). By definition, we have

$$v0(\underline{n+1} - \underline{n})F_n = F_n0(\underline{n+1} - \underline{n}) = \textstyle\sum_\lambda v^{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n - 2}F_nD_\lambda^0.$$

Similarly, $v^{-1}0(\underline{n} - \underline{n+1})F_n = \sum_\lambda v^{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1} + 2}F_nD_\lambda^0$. Moreover,

$$F_n^2E_n + E_nF_n^2 - [2]_vF_nE_nF_n = \textstyle\sum_\lambda (F_n^2E_n + E_nF_n^2 - [2]_vF_nE_nF_n)D_\lambda^0.$$

The identity (72) follows from Proposition 5.4.2. All other identities in (70)-(73) can be shown similarly. □

Proposition 5.5.2. *The assignment $E_i \mapsto E_i$, $F_i \mapsto F_i$, $H_a \mapsto 0(-\underline{a})$ and $J_\alpha \mapsto 0^\alpha(0)$, for any $i \in [1,n]$, $a \in [1,n+1]$ and $\alpha \in \{0,+,-\}$, defines a surjective algebra homomorphism $\Upsilon : \mathbf{U} \to \mathcal{U}$ where $\mathbf{U}$ is defined in Section 2.1.*

Proof. Under the map Υ, all defining relations of $\mathbf{U}$ map to the corresponding relations in $\mathcal{U}$ given in Proposition 5.5.1 except the commutator relation between $J_\pm$ and F_nE_n. Since

$$0(\underline{n+1} - \underline{n})0^\pm(0) = \textstyle\sum_\lambda v^{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_n}[D^\pm_\lambda] = \sum_\lambda v^{-\lambda_n}[D^\pm_\lambda] = 0(-\underline{n})0^\pm(0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Upsilon(J_\pm F_nE_n - F_nE_nJ_\mp - \frac{H_n^{-1}H_{n+1} - H_nH_{n+1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}(J_\pm - J_\mp))\\ &= 0^\pm(0)F_nE_n - F_nE_n0^\mp(0) - \frac{0(\underline{n}) - 0(-\underline{n})}{v - v^{-1}}(0^\pm(0) - 0^\mp(0)) = 0\end{aligned}$$

This shows that Υ is an algebra homomorphism. The surjectivity is clear. □

Remark 5.5.3. It is not clear if $\ker \Upsilon = 0$.

6. Case II

In this section, we turn to the case when all flags at the n-th step are assumed to be maximal isotropic.

6.1. **The second double centralizer.** We define $\mathscr{X}^m$ to be the subset of $\mathscr{X}$ in Section 3.2 subject to the condition that the n-th step of the flags is maximal isotropic. In particular, we have $V_n = V_{n+1}$ for any $V \in \mathscr{X}^m$, and thus

$$\mathscr{X}^m = \mathscr{X}^2 \sqcup \mathscr{X}^3.$$

Similar to the definition of the algebra $\mathcal{S}$ in Section 4.2, we consider the convolution algebra

$$\mathcal{S}^m = \mathcal{A}_G(\mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{X}^m)$$

on $\mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{X}^m$ and the free $\mathcal{A}$-module

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}_G(\mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{Y}).$$

Under the convolution product, $\mathcal{W}$ has a $\mathcal{S}^m$-$\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}$-bimodule structure. By [P09], we have

Lemma 6.1.1. *The triple $(\mathcal{S}^m, \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}; \mathcal{W})$ satisfies the double centralizer property, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}^m}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{Y}}}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{S}^m, \quad \text{if } n \geq d. \tag{74}$$

Let $\Pi^m = \{B \in \Pi | b_{n+1,j} = 0, \forall j\}$, where Π is defined in Section 3.3. A restriction of the bijection (11) in Section 3.3 yields a bijection

$$G\backslash \mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi^m.$$

Moreover, the isomorphism (13) restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d} \to \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes \mathcal{W}, \tag{75}$$

where $\mathbf{W}^{\otimes d}$ is defined in Section 2.2.

Observe that the algebra $\mathcal{S}^m$ is naturally a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}$, while $\mathcal{W}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{V}$ in (7). So we can define the function E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm}$, for $i \in [1, n-1]$, $a \in [1, n]$, and $J_{\pm}$ in $\mathcal{S}^m$ to be the restrictions of the functions in $\mathcal{S}$ under the same notations, respectively. We further define

$$T(V, V') = \begin{cases} v^{1-\lambda'_n}, & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| = d-1,\ V_j = V'_j,\ \forall j \in [1, n-1]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{76}$$

By definitions, we have

$$T = \left(F_n E_n + \frac{H_n H_{n+1}^{-1} - H_n^{-1} H_{n+1}}{v - v^{-1}} \right) |_{\mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{X}^m}. \tag{77}$$

We see immediately

Lemma 6.1.2. *The actions of E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm 1}$ and $J_{\pm}$ for $i \in [1, n-1]$, $a \in [1, n]$ on $\mathcal{W}$ are given by the formulas in Corollary 4.4.1, with the action of T on $\mathcal{W}$ given by $F_n E_n + \frac{H_n H_{n+1}^{-1} - H_n^{-1} H_{n+1}}{v - v^{-1}}$ from Corollary 4.4.1 again.*

6.2. **Relations for $\mathcal{S}^m$.** We now determine the relations for the algebra $\mathcal{S}^m$. By using Proposition 4.1.1 and (77), we have

Proposition 6.2.1. *The functions E_i, F_i and $H_a^{\pm 1}$, for $i \in [1, n-1]$, $a \in [1, n]$ together with the functions $J_{\pm}$ and T in $\mathcal{S}^m$ satisfy the defining relations of the algebra $\mathbf{U}^m$ in Section 2.2.*

Remark 6.2.2. The function T has a geometric interpretation. More preciely, we set

$$S(T) = \{(V, V') || V_n \cap V'_n| = d-1,\ V_j = V'_j, \forall j \in [1, n-1]\}.$$

By (40), we see that $S(T)$ is a smooth closed subvariety of $\mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{X}^m$ over the algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$. So the function T is the function version of the intersection complex associated to the variety $S(T)$, up to a shift.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to give another more direct proof of Proposition 6.2.1.

We first define an auxiliary function $\widetilde{T}$ by

$$\widetilde{T}(V, V') = \begin{cases} v^{1-\lambda'_n}, & \text{if } |V_n \cap V'_n| \geq d-1,\ V_j = V'_j, \forall j \in [1, n-1]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

where $\lambda'_n = |V'_n| - |V'_{n-1}|$. Moreover, we have

$$\widetilde{T} = T + vK_n = (F_n E_n + v \frac{vK_n - v^{-1}K_n^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}) |_{\mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{X}^m}. \tag{79}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$E_{n-1}^2 \widetilde{T}(V, V') = \begin{cases} (v^2+1) v^{-3\lambda'_n} & \text{if } V_{n-1} \overset{2}{\subset} V'_{n-1} \subset V_n,\ |V_n \cap V'_n| \geq d-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{T} E_{n-1}^2 (V, V') = \begin{cases} (v^2+1) v^{-3\lambda'_n - 2} & \text{if } V_{n-1} \overset{2}{\subset} V'_{n-1},\ |V_n \cap V'_n| \geq d-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$E_{n-1}\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}(V,V')=\begin{cases}(v^2+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n-1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{2}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|\geq d-1,\\ v^{-3\lambda'_n-1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{2}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\not\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|\geq d-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

So we have

$$E_{n-1}^2\widetilde{T}-[2]_vE_{n-1}\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}+\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}^2=0,$$

and by (79) it implies that

$$E_{n-1}^2T-[2]_vE_{n-1}TE_{n-1}+TE_{n-1}^2=0. \tag{80}$$

A direct computation shows that we have

$$\widetilde{T}^2E_{n-1}(V,V')=\begin{cases}(\frac{v^{2\lambda'_n+2}-1}{v^2-1}+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1},\ V_n=V'_n,\\ (v^2+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1},\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-2,\\ 2v^{-3\lambda'_n} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1},\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$E_{n-1}\widetilde{T}^2(V,V')=\begin{cases}(\frac{v^{2\lambda'_n-1}-1}{v^2-1}+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n+2} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ V_n=V'_n,\\ (v^2+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n+2} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-2,\\ 2v^{-3\lambda'_n+2} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}\widetilde{T}(V,V')=\begin{cases}(\frac{v^{2\lambda'_n-1}-1}{v^2-1}+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n+1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ V_n=V'_n,\\ (v^2+1)v^{-3\lambda'_n+1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-2,\\ v^{-3\lambda'_n+1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\not\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-2,\\ 2v^{-3\lambda'_n+1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-1,\\ v^{-3\lambda'_n+1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\not\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|=d-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$E_{n-1}\widetilde{T}(V,V')=\begin{cases}v^{-2\lambda'_n+1} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1}\subset V_n,\ |V_n\cap V'_n|\geq d-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}(V,V')=\begin{cases}v^{-2\lambda'_n} & \text{if } V_{n-1}\overset{1}{\subset}V'_{n-1},\ |V_n\cap V'_n|\geq d-1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

This implies that we have

$$\widetilde{T}^2E_{n-1}-[2]_v\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}\widetilde{T}+E_{n-1}\widetilde{T}^2=E_{n-1}-(v-v^{-1})(v\widetilde{T}E_{n-1}-E_{n-1}\widetilde{T})H_nH_{n+1}^{-1},$$

which implies again by (79) that

$$T^2E_{n-1} - [2]_v TE_{n-1}T + E_{n-1}T^2 = E_{n-1}. \tag{81}$$

Now apply the map ρ in (18) to (80) and (81), we get

$$F_{n-1}^2T - [2]_v F_{n-1}TF_{n-1} + TF_{n-1}^2 = 0,$$
$$T^2F_{n-1} - [2]_v TF_{n-1}T + F_{n-1}T^2 = F_{n-1}.$$

The other defining equations of $\mathbf{U}^m$ are straightforward to check and skipped. This finishes the proof of Proposition 6.2.1.

6.3. **Generators and bases for $\mathcal{S}^m$.** We consider the following subset of $\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}$.

$$\Xi'_{\mathbf{D}} = \{\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathbf{D}} | \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1} = 0\}.$$

We then have $\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \{+,-\}$ if $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}$. Moreover, we have a bijection

$$G\backslash \mathscr{X}^m \times \mathscr{X}^m \simeq \Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}, \tag{82}$$

inherited from the bijection (24).

Recall from Theorem 4.6.3 that we set $R_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{kj}$ for a signed matrix $\mathfrak{a} = (A, \epsilon)$. Let $\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}$ denote a signed matrix such that $\mathfrak{e}_{i,t} - R_{i,i+t}E^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ is diagonal. For a sequence $a_s, a_{s+1}, \cdots, a_r$ with $s \leq r$, we set

$$\prod_{i=r}^{s} a_i = a_r a_{r-1} \cdots a_s.$$

Theorem 6.3.1. *For any $\mathfrak{a} = A^{\epsilon} \in \Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}$, there exists a product of signed matrices $\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}$*

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \left(\prod_{t=N-1}^{n+1} \prod_{i=N-t}^{1} [\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}]\right) \prod_{t=n}^{1} \left(\prod_{i=N-t}^{n+2} [\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}]([\mathfrak{e}_{n,t+1}][\mathfrak{e}_{n+1,t}]) \prod_{i=n-1}^{n-t+1} [\mathfrak{e}_{i,t+1}] \prod_{i=n-t}^{1} [\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}]\right) \tag{83}$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}} = [\mathfrak{a}] + \text{lower terms}, \tag{84}$$

where the matrices $\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}$ are completely determined by the conditions $\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{e}_{1,N-1}) = \mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{e}_{1,1}) = \mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})$ and the signs of $\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}$ are inductively determined by the conditions that $s_r(\mathfrak{e}_{1,1}) = s_r(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s_l(\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}) = s_r(\mathfrak{e}_{i,t}) + (-1)^{s_r(\mathfrak{e}_{i,t})}\mathrm{p}(\mathfrak{e}_{i,t})$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of the one of Theorem 4.6.3. We show it for $n = 2$. We consider a signed matrix $\mathfrak{a} = (A, +1)$ in $\Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}$. Without lost of generality, we assume that $\mathrm{ur}(\mathfrak{a})$ is even, i.e. $a_{14} + a_{24} + a_{15} + a_{25}$ is even. Let B_{10} be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries being the entries of $\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})$. Let B_{11} be the matrix such that $B_{11} - R_{12}E^{\theta}_{12}$ is a diagonal matrix and $\mathrm{co}(B_{11}) = \mathrm{ro}(B_{10})$. Let $[M_1] = [D_{24} + R_{24}E^{\theta}_{23}][D_{34} + R_{34}E^{\theta}_{34}]$, where D_{24} and D_{34} are uniquely determined by the condition $\mathrm{co}(D_{34} + R_{34}E^{\theta}_{34}) = \mathrm{ro}(B_{11})$ and $\mathrm{co}(D_{24} + R_{24}E^{\theta}_{23}) = \mathrm{ro}(D_{34} + R_{34}E^{\theta}_{34})$. Let B_{14} be the matrix such that $B_{14} - R_{45}E^{\theta}_{45}$ is a diagonal matrix and $\mathrm{co}(B_{14}) = \mathrm{ro}(D_{24} + R_{24}E^{\theta}_{23})$.

By the example in Remark 4.3.3, $[M_1]$ is either $[(D_\lambda, \epsilon)]$ or $[(D_{\lambda'} + R_{34}E^{\theta}_{24}, \epsilon)]$ up to a scalar for some λ, λ' and ϵ. So we can talk about the sign of M_1. We set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{10} = (B_{10}, +), \quad \mathfrak{b}_{11} = (B_{11}, +),$$
$$\mathfrak{m}_1 = \begin{cases} (M_1, +), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is even,} \\ (M_1, -), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{b}_{14} = \begin{cases} (B_{14}, +), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is even,} \\ (B_{14}, -), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 4.6.2, we have

$$[\mathfrak{b}_{14}][\mathfrak{m}_1][\mathfrak{b}_{11}][\mathfrak{b}_{10}] = [\mathfrak{a}_1] + \text{lower terms},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 = \begin{cases} (A_1, +), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is even,} \\ (A_1, -), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & R_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{45} & * & 0 & R_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{24} & 0 & * & R_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{12} & * \end{pmatrix},$$

and the $*$'s are some positive numbers unique determined by $\mathrm{co}(A_1) = \mathrm{co}(B_{10})$. Now let B_{31} be the matrix such that $B_{31} - R_{14}E^{\theta}_{12}$ is a diagonal matrix and $\mathrm{co}(B_{31}) = \mathrm{ro}(B_{14})$ and $[M_2] = [D_{25} + R_{25}E^{\theta}_{23}][D_{35} + R_{35}E^{\theta}_{34}]$. We set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{31} = \begin{cases} (B_{31}, +), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is even,} \\ (B_{31}, -), & \text{if } a_{14} + a_{24} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$
$$\mathfrak{m}_2 = (M_2, +).$$

Then we have

$$[\mathfrak{m}_2][\mathfrak{b}_{31}][\mathfrak{a}_1] = [\mathfrak{a}_2] + \text{lower terms},$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (A_2, +)$ with

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} * & R_{12} & 0 & a_{14} & 0 \\ a_{45} & * & 0 & a_{24} & R_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{25} & a_{24} & 0 & * & a_{45} \\ 0 & a_{14} & 0 & R_{12} & * \end{pmatrix},$$

D_{ij} and the $*$'s are unique determined . Let B_{41} be the matrix such that $B_{41} - R_{15}E^{\theta}_{12}$ is a diagonal matrix and $\mathrm{co}(B_{41}) = \mathrm{ro}(A_2)$. We set

$$\mathfrak{b}_{41} = (B_{41}, +).$$

We have

$$[\mathfrak{b}_{41}][\mathfrak{m}_2][\mathfrak{b}_{31}][\mathfrak{b}_{14}][\mathfrak{m}_1][\mathfrak{b}_{11}][\mathfrak{b}_{10}] = [\mathfrak{a}] + \text{lower terms}.$$

This finishes the proof for $n = 2$ and positively signed matrices. The case for the negatively signed matrices can be shown similarly and so is the general case. □

By Theorem 6.3.1, we can deduce the following results for $\mathcal{S}^m$ similar to those for $\mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 6.3.2. *(a) The algebra $\mathcal{S}^m$ is generated by $[\mathfrak{e}]$ such that either $\mathfrak{e} - RE^{\theta}_{n,n+2}$, $\mathfrak{e} - RE^{\theta}_{i,i+1}$ or $\mathfrak{e} - RE^{\theta}_{i+1,i}$ is diagonal for some $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in [1, n-1]$.*

(b) The algebra $\mathcal{S}^m$ admits a standard basis $\{[\mathfrak{a}] | \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$, a monomial basis $\{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}} | \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ and the canonical basis $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\} | \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$, where $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is in (83).

(c) The algebra $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{S}^m$ is generated by the functions E_i, F_i, $H_a^{\pm 1}$, $J_{\pm}$ and T for any $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $a \in [1, n]$.

Remark 6.3.3. The basis $\{\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{a}\}$ of $\mathcal{S}^m$ is not a subset of the basis $\{\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a}\}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ in Corollary 4.6.4. But the canonical basis $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\}|\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ of $\mathcal{S}^m$ is a subset of the basis $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\}|\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}\}$ of $\mathcal{S}$.

6.4. **The algebra $\mathcal{K}^m$.** Recall that $\Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}=\{\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi_{\mathbf{D}}|\mathrm{ro}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=\mathrm{co}(\mathfrak{a})_{n+1}=0\}$. Let

$$\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}=\{\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\Xi}_{\mathbf{D}}|a_{n+1,j}=a_{j,n+1}=0,\forall j\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}^m$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ spanned by the elements $[\mathfrak{a}]$ for any $\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}$. Notice that $\mathcal{K}^m$ can be obtained via a stabilization similar to Section 5.1 by using the algebras $\mathcal{S}^m$. Similar to Theorem 6.3.1, we have

$$\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}+\text{lower terms},\quad \forall\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}},$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{a}$ is defined in (83). Moreover, by (50), we have

$$[(D_\lambda+E^\theta_{n,n+1},\epsilon)]\cdot[(D_\lambda+E^\theta_{n+1,n},\epsilon')]=\begin{cases}[(D_\lambda+E^\theta_{n,n},\epsilon)] & \text{if } \epsilon=\epsilon',\\ [(D_\lambda+E^\theta_{n,n+2},\epsilon)] & \text{if } \epsilon\neq\epsilon'.\end{cases}$$

From this observation, we have the following results for $\mathcal{K}^m$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{K}^m$ similar to those for $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{K}$.

Proposition 6.4.1. *(a) The algebra $\mathcal{K}^m$ is generated by the elements $[\mathfrak{e}]$ such that either $\mathfrak{e}-RE^\theta_{n,n+2}$, $\mathfrak{e}-RE^\theta_{i,i+1}$ or $\mathfrak{e}-RE^\theta_{i+1,i}$ is diagonal for some $i\in[1,n-1]$ and $R\in\mathbb{N}$.*

(b) The algebra $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{K}^m$ is generated by the elements $[\mathfrak{e}]$ such that either $\mathfrak{e}$, $\mathfrak{e}-E^\theta_{n,n+2}$, $\mathfrak{e}-E^\theta_{i,i+1}$ or $\mathfrak{e}-E^\theta_{i+1,i}$ is diagonal for some $i\in[1,n-1]$.

(c) The algebras $\mathcal{K}^m$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{K}^m$ possess three bases: the standard basis $\{[\mathfrak{a}]|\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$, the monomial basis $\{\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$, and the canonical basis $\{\{A\}|\mathfrak{a}\in\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}\}$.

(d) The restriction of Ψ in Theorem 5.3.1 defines a surjective algebra homomorphism $\Psi^m:\mathcal{K}^m\to\mathcal{S}^m$ such that $\Psi^m([\mathfrak{a}])=[\mathfrak{a}]$ if $\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}$ and 0 otherwise. Moreover $\Psi^m(\{\mathfrak{a}\})=\{\mathfrak{a}\}$ if $\mathfrak{a}\in\Xi'_{\mathbf{D}}$ and 0 otherwise.

6.5. **A presentation of $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_\mathcal{A}\mathcal{K}^m$.** To a diagonal signed matrix $\mathfrak{d}=(D_\lambda,\epsilon)$ in $\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}$, we set

$$T\mathfrak{d}=F_nE_n\mathfrak{d}-[\lambda_n]_v\mathfrak{d}. \tag{85}$$

where $F_nE_n\mathfrak{d}$ is defined in (57) and lies in $\mathcal{K}^m$. Note that $\lambda_{n+1}=0$ in this case.

Proposition 6.5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{d}=(D_\lambda,\epsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{d}'=(D_{\lambda'},\epsilon')$ be two signed diagonal matrices in $\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}$. The following relations hold in $\mathcal{K}^m$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}'=\delta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\mathfrak{d},\\
&T\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{d}'T\mathfrak{d}=0, && \text{if } \lambda=\lambda',\epsilon=\epsilon',\\
&(E_iF_j-F_jE_i)\mathfrak{d}=0, && \text{if } i\neq j,\\
&(E_iF_i-F_iE_i)\mathfrak{d}=[\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_i]_v\mathfrak{d}, && \text{if } i\neq n,\\
&(E^2_{n-1}T-[2]_vE_{n-1}TE_{n-1}+TE^2_{n-1})\mathfrak{d}=0,\\
&(F^2_{n-1}T-[2]_vF_{n-1}TF_{n-1}+TF^2_{n-1})\mathfrak{d}=0,\\
&(T^2E_{n-1}-[2]_vTE_{n-1}T+E_{n-1}T^2)\mathfrak{d}=E_{n-1}\mathfrak{d},
\end{aligned}$$

$$(T^2F_{n-1} - [2]_v TF_{n-1}T + F_{n-1}T^2)\mathfrak{d} = F_{n-1}\mathfrak{d},$$
$$(E_iE_j - E_jE_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad (F_iF_j - F_jF_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1,$$
$$(E_iE_iE_j - [2]_v E_iE_jE_i + E_jE_iE_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i-j| = 1,$$
$$(F_iF_iF_j - [2]_v F_iF_jF_i + F_jF_iF_i)\mathfrak{d} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i-j| = 1.$$

Proof. Proposition can be shown by using (85) and Proposition 5.4.2. One could prove them directly by using the same argument as we make for Proposition 5.4.2. More precisely, all identities can be reduced into $\mathcal{S}^m$ by replacing $[\mathfrak{a}]$ by $[_p\mathfrak{a}]$. Proposition then follows from Proposition 6.2.1. □

6.6. **The identification $\mathcal{K}^m = \dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$.** Recall the algebra $\mathbf{U}^m$ from Section 2.2. Following [Lu93, Section 23], we shall define the modified form $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ of $\mathbf{U}^m$. We set

$$\Lambda^m = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^N | \lambda_i = \lambda_{N+1-i}, \lambda_{n+1} = 0\}.$$

For any $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda^m$, we define

$${}_\lambda\mathbf{U}^m_{\lambda'} = \mathbf{U}^m/(\sum_{a=1}^{n+1}(H_a - v^{-\lambda_a})\mathbf{U}^m + \sum_{a=1}^{n+1}\mathbf{U}^m(H_a - v^{-\lambda_a})).$$

Let $\pi_{\lambda,\lambda'} : \mathbf{U}^m \to {}_\lambda\mathbf{U}^m_{\lambda'}$ be the canonical projection. We set $\mathrm{sgn}(\pi_{\lambda,\lambda}(J_+)) = +$ and $\mathrm{sgn}(\pi_{\lambda,\lambda}(J_-)) = -$. Set

$$\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m = \oplus_{\lambda,\lambda'\in\Lambda^m}{}_\lambda\mathbf{U}^m_{\lambda'}.$$

Similarly, we can define $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ by replacing $\mathbf{U}^m$ by its subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^\imath$. (See also [**?**, 5.6].) Following [Lu93, Section 23], we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m = \sum_{\mathfrak{d}} \mathbf{U}^m\mathfrak{d} = \sum_{\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{d})=+} \mathbf{U}^\imath\mathfrak{d} \oplus \sum_{\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{d})=-} \mathbf{U}^\imath\mathfrak{d} \simeq \dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath \oplus \dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath, \tag{86}$$

as vector spaces, where the sum runs over all elements $\mathfrak{d}$ of the form $\pi_{\lambda,\lambda}(J_+)$ or $\pi_{\lambda,\lambda}(J_-)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^m$.

Let $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{D}}$ be the associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra without unit generated by $E_i\mathfrak{d}, F_i\mathfrak{d}, T\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ for all $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ runs over all diagonal signed matrices in $\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}$, subjects to the relations (i)-(viii) in Proposition 6.5.1. We have

Proposition 6.6.1. *The map $\phi : \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{D}} \to \dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ sending generators in $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{D}}$ to the respective elements in $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. Observe that all relations in $\mathbf{U}^m$ can be transformed into corresponding relations in $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ by adjoining diagonal signed matrixes. By comparing the defining relations of $\mathbf{U}^m$ and those in Proposition 6.5.1, we have that $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra generated by $E_i\mathfrak{d}$, $F_i\mathfrak{d}$, $T\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ for all $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ diagonal signed matrices in $\widetilde{\Xi}'_{\mathbf{D}}$ and subject to the defining relations of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{D}}$. So we see that the map ϕ is a surjective algebra homomorphism.

It is left to show ϕ is injective. By using the same argument of (86), we have $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{D}} \simeq \dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath \oplus \dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$, as vector spaces. So the map ϕ is injective. We are done. □

Theorem 6.6.2. *The assignment of sending generators in $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^m$ to the respective generators in $\mathcal{K}^m$ defines an algebra isomorphism $\Upsilon' : \dot{\mathbf{U}}^m \to \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}^m$.*

Proof. By Propositions 6.5.1 and 6.6.1, we see that Υ' is a surjective algebra homomorphism. We observe that $\mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{K}^m$ is a direct sum of two copies of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^\imath$ as $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ vector spaces. So we have the injectivity. □

6.7. **The algebra $\mathcal{U}^m$.** Recall the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ and the notations $0^\pm$ from Section 5.5 and the notation $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathbf{j})$ from (65). We consider the following elements in $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}) &= 0^+(\mathbf{j}) + 0^-(\mathbf{j}), \quad \forall \mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^N,\\
E_i &= E^{\theta,+}_{i+1,i}(0) + E^{\theta,-}_{i+1,i}(0),\\
F_i &= E^{\theta,+}_{i,i+1}(0) + E^{\theta,-}_{i,i+1}(0), \quad \forall i\in[1,n-1],\\
T &= \textstyle\sum_\lambda([D^+_\lambda + E^\theta_{n,n+2}] + [D^-_\lambda + E^\theta_{n,n+2}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^m$ be the subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ generated by $E_i, F_i, T, \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}), 0^+(0)$ and $0^-(0)$ for all $i\in[1,n-1]$ and $\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{Z}^N$. By a similar argument as Proposition 6.7.1, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.7.1. *The following relations hold in $\mathcal{U}^m$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j})\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}') = \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}')\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}),\ 0^\pm(0)\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}) = \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j})0^\pm(0),\ \ 0^\alpha(0)0^\epsilon(0) = \delta_{\alpha,\epsilon}0^\alpha(0),\\
&\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j})F_h = v^{j_h-j_{h+1}}F_h\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}),\ \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j})E_h = v^{-j_h+j_{h+1}}E_h\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}),\\
&E_hT = TE_h, \quad F_hT = TF_h, \qquad\qquad \text{if } h\in[1,n-2],\\
&0^\pm(0)E_h = E_h0^\pm(0),\ F_h0^\pm(0) = 0^\pm(0)F_h,\ \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j})T = T\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{j}),\ 0^\pm(0)T = T0^\mp(0),\\
&F_hE_h - E_hF_h = (v-v^{-1})^{-1}(0(\underline{h}-\underline{h+1}) - 0(\underline{h+1}-\underline{h}))\\
&E^2_{n-1}T - [2]_vE_{n-1}TE_{n-1} + TE^2_{n-1} = 0,\\
&F^2_{n-1}T - [2]_vF_{n-1}TF_{n-1} + TF^2_{n-1} = 0,\\
&T^2E_{n-1} - [2]_vTE_{n-1}T + E_{n-1}T^2 = E_{n-1},\\
&T^2F_{n-1} - [2]_vTF_{n-1}T + F_{n-1}T^2 = F_{n-1},\\
&E_iE_j = E_jE_i, \quad F_iF_j = F_jF_i, \qquad\qquad \text{if } |i-j|>1,\\
&E^2_iE_j - [2]_vE_iE_jE_i + E_jE^2_i = 0, \qquad\qquad \text{if } |i-j|=1,\\
&F^2_iF_j - [2]_vF_iF_jF_i + F_jF^2_i = 0, \qquad\qquad \text{if } |i-j|=1.
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the defining relations and graded dimensions, we have

Corollary 6.7.2. *We have a unique isomorphism $\mathbf{U}^m\to\mathcal{U}^m$ defined by $E_i\mapsto E_i$, $F_i\mapsto F_i$, $T\mapsto T$, $H_a\mapsto \mathrm{O}(-\underline{a})$ and $J_\pm\mapsto 0^\pm(0)$, for any $i\in[1,n-1]$ and $a\in[1,n]$.*

References

[BKLW14] H. Bao, J. Kujawa, Y. Li, W. Wang, *Geometric Schur duality of classical type, with Appendix A by H. Bao, Y. Li, and W. Wang*, arXiv:1404.4000.

[BW13] H. Bao, W. Wang, *A new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of type B via quantum symmetric pairs*, arXiv:1310.0103.

[BBD82] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[BLM90] A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig, R. McPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n*, Duke Math. J., **61** (1990), 655-677.

[BB05] A. Björner, F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **231.** Springer, 2005.

[D02] S. Doty, A. Giaquinto, *Presenting Schur algebras*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **36**,1907–1944 (2002).
[ES13a] M. Ehrig, C. Stroppel, *Diagrams for perverse sheaves on isotropic Grassmannians and the supergroup $SOSP(m|2n)$*, arXiv:1306.4043.
[ES13b] M. Ehrig, C. Stroppel, *Nazarov-Wenzl algebras, coideal subalgebras and categorified skew Howe duality*, arXiv:1310.1972.
[Fu12] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$*, arXiv:1204.3142.
[GV93] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **3** (1993), 67–85.
[G97] R. Green, *Hyperoctaheral Schur algebras*, J. Algebra **192**, (1997) 418-438.
[GL92] I. Grojnowski, G. Lusztig, *On bases of irreducible representations of quantum GL_n*, in *Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics* (Chicago, IL, 1989), 167-174, Contemp. Math., **139**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
[KL79] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), no. 2, 165-184.
[KhLa10] M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups III*, Quantum Topology, Vol 1, Issue 1, 2010, pp. 1-92.
[La10] A. Lauda, *A categorification of quantum sl(2)*, Adv. in Math., Volume 225, Issue 6, 2010, 3327-3424.
[Le02] G. Letzter, *Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs*, New directions in Hopf algebras (Cambridge), MSRI publications, vol. **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002, pp. 117–166.
[Lu93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Reprint of the 1993 Edition, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.
[Lu99] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.
[Lu00] G. Lusztig, *Transfer maps for quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$*, in Representations and quantizations (Shanghai, 1998), 341-356, China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, 2000.
[M10] K. McGerty, *On the geometric realization of the inner product and canonical basis for quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$*, Algebra Number Theory 6 (2012), no. 6, 1097-1131.
[P09] G. Pouchin, *A geometric Schur-Weyl duality for quotients of affine Hecke algebras,* J. Algebra **321** (2009), no. 1, 230-247.
[SV00] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, *Geometric construction of the global base of the quantum modified algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$*, Transform. Groups **5** (2000), 351–360.
[W93] Z. Wan, *Geometry of classical groups over finite fields*. Studentlitteratur, 1993.

Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY 14260
E-mail address: zhaobing@buffalo.edu, yiqiang@buffalo.edu